Intergenerational Learning: A Review of the Literature

LR-FP-96-07 January 1996 (Second Printing)

by

Vivian L. Gadsden
University of Pennsylvania
and
Marcia Hall
Simmons College

Commissioned by the

National Center on Fathers and Families

The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the opinion of the University of Pennsylvania or Simmons College.



NATIONAL CENTER ON FATHERS AND FAMILIES UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION 3440 MARKET STREET, SUITE 450 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-3325

TELEPHONE: (215)-573-5500

Fax: (215)-573-5508

HTTP://www.ncoff.gse.upenn.edu E-mail: mailbox@ncoff.gse.upenn.edu

DIRECTOR: VIVIAN L. GADSDEN



Intergenerational Learning: A Review of the Literature by Vivian L. Gadsden and Marcia Hall

©1996 National Center on Fathers and Families

Abstract

Research on intergenerational learning includes a range of studies that focus on the transmission of beliefs and practices and modeling of behaviors from generation to generation. This critical review focuses on the broader issues in intergenerational learning and the multiple, though small, streams of work that examine the impact of fathers' involvement in families. The discussion focuses on four areas: (1) intergenerational and life-course issues that have emerged over the past 25 years; (2) parental influences on children with special emphasis on parenting and grandparenting, parent-child relationships, attitudes and beliefs, divorce, and status attainment; (3) unhealthy families; and (4) racial and cultural issues. The review concludes by focusing on the limitations and inherent constraints in examining issues on fathers and by offering recommendations for research, practice, and policy analyses that might expand the discourse(s) in the field on questions about culture, the impact of divorce, and the complementary role of mothers and fathers in children's development.

The National Center on Fathers and Families (NCOFF) is a policy research center that is practice-focused and practice-derived. Based at the University of Pennsylvania, NCOFF's mission is to improve the life chances of children and the efficacy of families by facilitating the effective involvement of fathers in caring for, supporting, and advocating on behalf of their children. Efforts are organized around three interdependent approaches: program development, a policy research and policymakers engagement component, and dissemination activities. NCOFF's research plan is developed around seven "Core Learnings," distilled from the experiences of programs and agencies serving fathers, mothers, and children around the country.

Core funding for NCOFF is provided by the Annie E. Casey Foundation. Additional support is provided by the Ford Foundation.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS -

Vivian L. Gadsden, Ph.D. is an Associate Professor at the Graduate School of Education at the University of Pennsylvania.

Marcia Hall, Ph. D. is an Assistant Professor at Simmons College.

Intergenerational Learning: A Review of the Literature

by Vivian L. Gadsden and Marcia Hall

intergenerational esearch on learning within families includes a range of studies that focus on the transmission of beliefs and practices and modeling behaviors the of from generation to generation. That is, it seeks to understand better the impact of families of origin children's on individual behaviors and family throughout practices the lifespan. Intergenerational learning issues cut multiple disciplines: from across psychology, to medicine, to public policy (Fitzgerald et al., 1995). As family support efforts expand and welfare reform measures are implemented, intergenerational learning is highlighted increasingly in a variety of domains: such as parenting, family development educational and family functioning, life-course persistence, and development.

Despite growing interest in intergenerational learning, research on the effects of father involvement on children's development represents but a small strand of work. The focus on fathers has a relatively recent history that began in the 1960s (Lamb, 1995; Parke, 1996) and that has grown steadily in interest and momentum over the years. Current discussions still disproportionately examine motherchild interactions. Yet, even these are based often on narrow conceptual frameworks and have a clear race and class bias, with White, middle-class

families and mothers overrepresented in every area of work in the field (Barnett et al., 1991).

Although our review of the research literature for this report suggests that father involvement affects children well into their adulthood, our attempts at critical analysis of the literature have been severely constrained by the lack of work on fathers and children within the intergenerational learning knowledge base. For example, questions that focus on the quality of interaction between fathers and children persist, assertions about what constitutes good fathering and what the differential impact of negative versus positive father involvement is are relatively unchallenged. The dearth of work, however, present rich may opportunity to recast the issues: i.e., to pose and respond to complex questions that encourage new research about the unique features of mothers' and fathers' contributions to children's development and that interrogate the ways in which father-related issues are situated, examined, or ignored in research, practice, and policy discussions (Barnett et al., 1991; Barnett and Marshall, 1992; Furstenberg, 1993).

This review is divided into five sections summarizing the literature. We begin by providing an overview of issues that link intergenerationality and father involvement, inserting brief discussions about fathers' perceptions of

relationship with sons daughters and gender roles. Second, we focus on intergenerational and lifecourse issues that have emerged over the past 25 years. Third, we review some of the research that examines parental influences on children, with special emphasis on parenting and grandparenting, intimacy, attitudes and beliefs, divorce, and status attainment. Fourth, we describe some of the intergenerational consequences of learning within families experiencing severe problems and who are often described as "unhealthy." Fifth, we discuss a subset of studies focused on specific racial and ethnic groups. In conclusion. we comment on limitations and inherent constraints of examining research on fathers and intergenerational learning, critical issues from the discussion in the previous sections, and recommendations for research and policy analyses that might broaden discourse(s) in the field and support practice.

The studies and documents examined for this review and analysis, while being far from comprehensive, represent much of the variety in the materials on the topic. Although research on intergenerational learning is integrated across several different disciplines, most studies we reviewed were in the fields most typically associated with family development and family studies: psychology, sociology, social work, and education. Many of the empirical studies reviewed were based research using ethnographic methods and qualitative analyses. Most sources, however, data were quantitative analyses, i.e., cross-sectional and longitudinal, that also used questionnaires and interviews. The

findings in most studies demonstrated rather correlational than causal relationships, thus offering little information that can be considered definitive or evidence on any issue. There were several literature reviews, conceptual and theoretical analyses, and a few descriptive studies of successful programs that often were evaluations of clinical work rather than empirical inquiry.

We recognize the strengths and within the limitations current knowledge base and highlight throughout this review the need to improve the quality and breadth of research, particularly work that can build upon basic and applied research while contributing substantively practice, policy research, and policy formulations. However, our goal in this review is two-fold: (1) to describe the larger context of intergenerational learning within families which also includes the important and salient discussion about mothers and their contributions to children's development and (2) to locate the issues around fathers. In the pages that follow, we provide an overview of the issues on intergenerational learning, how these issues have been framed and discussed to-date in relationship to fathers, and offer suggestions about the points at which expanded discourses may enter current and future discussions in the field.

INTERGENERATIONALITY AND FATHERS

In Fishel's (1991) Family Mirrors, the central theme of intergenerational learning is captured: what do children's lives reveal about their parents? Research in both human development

and education attempt to respond to this question. In educational research, the study of intergenerational learning focuses on the ways in which parents and other family members contribute to children's affect academic or school attendance, performance, discipline, and valuing of schooling and education (see Gadsden, 1995). Developmental psychologists focus on transfer that cognitive influences children's linguistic patterns or psychosocio-emotional well-being--from the inheritability of intelligence to parenting and environmental factors (Coles and Coles, 1988).

Parent-child relationships are among the most common social roles played over the life-course and the most enduring social ties. Research studies focus on children and parents because of the important role that parents play in children's daily lives and in their subsequent well-being as adults (Walker and Thompson, 1983). How children, boys and girls alike, understand and develop knowledge about gender roles and expectations has received some attention over time, particularly in disciplines such as developmental psychology; but how children use this knowledge and integrate it into their lives over the life-course and within families has received considerably less attention (Coles and Coles, 1988; Parke, 1996).

The ways in which fathers and mothers convey messages about manhood, womanhood, parenting, learning, schooling, and persisting are part of a difficult communicative mechanism that may be affected by people and factors outside the family-e.g., children's peers, social experiences, and adult life circumstances. For example, in an ethnographic-focused,

intergenerational with study generations of African American families, Gadsden (forthcoming) found that adult children, both men and often reported difficulty women, enacting the messages of their parents responsibility parent persistence. Despite their stated acceptance of and belief in their family's values, they reported wrestling with cultural messages from peers conflicted with parents' messages. They also reported societal messages that were in stark contrast to those of the family. Adult children reported that they were less likely to discuss these or similarly "hard" issues with their fathers as young children and some hesitancy as adults because of their sense of personal failure at persisting. In some cases, this was the result of a family history of strained relationships; in attributed to others, it was expectation that children's problems "ought to be" the domain of mothers.

Until the 1960s, researchers assumed that boys needed a father with whom to identify or after whom to model themselves, although mothers were most often studied (Johnson, 1982). What some research suggests is that the intergenerational effects of gender role development appear stronger mother-daughter relationships than for other possible dyadic relationships; other analyses indicate that the level of involvement of the father increases the intergenerational comfort with which boys and girls approach issues of sexuality or sexual behavior (Arditti, 1991; Barnett et al., 1991). appear to influence how both sons and daughters define and act out their gendered roles irrespective of the ages of children, from their childhood through adulthood (Aquilino, 1991).

Both research and public discussions about fathers and their children focus more often than not on the importance of fathers' relationships with sons. Studies that have included fathers typically have excluded their daughters. When research examines daughters, it is centered on issues of gender identification (Kristal, 1979) or mending fractured and painful father-daughter relationships (MacNabb, However, during the 1960s and early 1970s, a large body of research emerged to suggest that fathers were more involved than mothers in reinforcing femininity in girls and masculinity in boys (Johnson, 1963; Lozoff, 1974; Biller and Weiss, 1970). Fathers stated explicitly that they felt more responsibility toward a male child than a female child (Gilbert et al., 1982).

In a more recent study, Nydegger and Mitteness (1991) found that "fathers not only socialize sons into their male world but also share it with them" (p. 255). Focused on how parent-adult child relations are affected by the gender of the child, the data suggest that fathers considered their primary responsibility to be socializing their sons into the male world and protecting their daughters. Fathers in the sample, upper-middle-class men, reported that they found it easier to understand sons.

Although these findings appear to contrast with earlier work in which fathers named daughters more often as the child to which they feel closest (e.g., Lowenthal et al., 1975), they in fact may be consistent in many ways, particularly when the range of fathers' responses is examined. For example, Nydegger and Mitteness report that fathers named sons and daughters equally often when asked to identify the child they considered closest to them; in another

instance, they note that throughout their interviews they were struck by fathers' stated affection for their daughters, attributable possibly to the more relaxed relationships fathers reported having with their daughters. Nydegger and Mitteness conclude that adult relationships are shaped gender differences; fathers share a common male world with their sons, from which daughters are excluded; and fathers perceive their duties to their children differently based on the child's gender.

Rather than suggesting that there is a particularized relationship between fathers and sons, these studies point perhaps to the complexities that some men face in reconciling their role as "the modeler" of manhood (within traditional social norms) with their role as father, parent, and contributor to the whole of family life. In addition, fathers who see their role as only or primarily the provider or head of the family may assign more importance to these role features for their sons' future role as fathers, consider them as outside the immediate concern of girls, or see them as distinctive from any need for fathers to transmit their personal experiences, expectations, and hopes to daughters. In other instances, fathers simply may feel unprepared to enter such conversations with daughters.

Perhaps the area that has received the least attention in discussions about the role of men in modeling male behaviors is the effects of fathers' sexual orientation on their children's sexual orientation. The work of Bailey et al. (1995) on the effect of gay fathers on the sexual orientation of their sons suggests little intergenerational connection of actual behaviors, although attitudes and openness to nontraditional lifestyles

affected. may be For interpretations of father behaviors also may be linked to issues around the interpretations and nature masculinity within different societies and the ways in which fathers transfer their beliefs about masculinity. Collins' (1994) *Fatherson* examines this within the context of archetypal masculinity, while Pittman (1993) attaches men's need to assert themselves as fathers and sons to part of the search for masculinity.

Parent-child relationships often are affected by a variety of factors and changes over the life-course. Work on relationships father-son which comparatively overrepresented in nonacademic, popular books, focuses on several of these complex including the evolution of father-son relationships to the transmission of the provider role ethic. Still, even these texts discuss little about the messages conveyed from fathers to sons about nurturing and fathers' caring (see Canfield, 1996; Wideman, 1994). On the other hand, Snarey's (1993) fourgenerational research study on men and generativity offers a compelling analysis that both bridges and parses some of that issues concern understanding of traditional gender roles and contemporary notions of caring, nurturing fathers.

In a work based largely on clinical experience, Bassoff (1994) focuses on how mothers contribute to sons' developing into loving men and on the important role of gender: how boys struggle in separating from their mothers and how mothers can help in this struggle. Bassoff notes that in general boys need more fathering and less mothering. However, although boys appear to fare better when their fathers are involved Bassoff suggests

that sons of single mothers can also grow up to be happy and healthy, and single mothers make better parents than unhappily married mothers.

The issues discussed in the field are developed out of a variety of conceptualizations and definitions of intergenerationality, many of which have been examined only marginally. These conceptual frameworks provide a context for and inform the subsequent discussion in this review.

FRAMEWORKS FOR UNDERSTANDING INTERGENERATIONAL LEARNING

Definitions and Meanings

Researchers the use term intergenerational learning as an allencompassing concept for several kinds of human relationships across different generations. Although there is some agreement about the general uses of the intergenerational learning concept, researchers often have divergent opinions about the definitions of generation itself.

In most studies, the term generation has been used to refer to a person's position in family lineage (Hagestad, Methodological discussions of 1981). family relations may separate lineage generation and as two measuring constructs central to the study of families. At the generational level, the unit of analysis individual who occupies a specific position within the intergenerational family structure; at the lineage level, several individual family members are observed and are linked into a single analytical unit (Acock, 1984; Hagestad, In this review, we refer to 1981). generation as a subset of lineage.

Acock (1984) provides a useful framework and informative analysis that we use liberally in this review to the commonly cited present generations: perspectives on rank descent, cohort, developmental age, discrete time span, and zeitgeist. descent is not a function of age; rather, an individual is placed in a generation based on his or her position in the family's hierarchy (Acock and Bengtson, 1975, 1978; Acock et al., 1982; Bengtson, 1975; Hill et al., 1970; Troll, 1970). A selfsufficient, independent, adolescent parent is assigned the same status as a 60 year-old independent parent because of the ordering of their positions as parents in their respective families. While rank descent makes it possible to study multiple generations, the age disparity does not address temporal or historical issues that affect family development family members' or perceptions.

Cohorts as a generational indicator is based on age-homogeneous groupings. Children who are of the same age are assumed to have experienced certain social events in similar ways. social events are thought to contribute to the life-views of individuals as family members, suggesting consistency within age cohorts. Two primary limitations of cohorts, as Acock (1984) suggests, are, first, that the differential ways that families mediate social events circumstances are not acknowledged, second, that behaviors attributed to generations as a function of social change when in fact more immediate and personal reasons unique to a family may be better explanations. Acock (1984) also suggests that the cohort concept is relied upon often, proximate causes "more of cleavage" should generational be

considered (Acock, 1984), such as when parent and child share an important or difficult series of life events.

other three perspectives-developmental age, discrete time span, and *zeitgeist--*have received relatively less attention. Developmental age combines rank-descent and cohort perspectives and defines generation in relationship to task similarity among individuals, e.g., people who were housewives around the same time (Bengtson and Kuypers, 1971). Discrete time span focuses on the time it takes a new cohort to grow and to assume control, a time period of about 30 years. Zeitgeist is used to denote a generation when all in that group share a "content of style, politics, values, [and] art" that is historically distinct (Acock, 1984, p. 2). The zeitgeist perspective would label "hippies" as a distinct generation without concern for date of birth, rank in family lineage, or developmental stage.

It is important to note here that intergenerational learning may include more than the family, although families create an obvious intergenerational connection. Intergenerational learning may be conceptualized more broadly as a wide array of participants learning and each teaching other--parents, grandparents, teachers, and children. Several intergenerational programs have been created, for example, that connect older individuals with children who are not biologically related but who need support and nurturance (Freedman, 1989; Weinstein-Shr and Henkin, 1991).

Research and practice on intergenerational learning within and outside of biologically connected families assume that in settings where children and adults have opportunities to interact over periods of time, adults knowledge, transmit beliefs, practices to children either through direct teaching or informal activities. Such transmission and learning may take place in formal settings such as schools where adult teachers share with children the strategies for reading and writing and facilitate the development of these abilities using a set of accepted approaches. It may take place within homes--between parent and grandparent and grandchild, and other adult-child configurations--and include an adult relative modeling a variety of behaviors, talking, or demonstrating the importance value and of attitudes, and practices (Gadsden, in press). Intergenerational learning may be a part of relationships established in community settings such as churches in which an adult helps a child understand the written ritual of the church or participate in church or community activities.

Up to this point, we have focused unidirectional, primarily on intergenerational learning--from parent child. Within this traditional perspective, parents are considered the principal agents of socialization in childhood (Freud, 1933, Erickson, 1950; Heilbrun et al., 1965). The family is seen the provider of stability continuity to individual members and of the systematic socialization through which children come to understand the norms of the social order. Intergenerational similarity in attitudes is attributed to the socialization function and activities of the family (Glass et al., Children learn their parents' 1986). beliefs, values, and attitudes through direct teaching and indirect observation; they actively seek out this information or passively accept it as a

function of social conditioning. Implicit in traditional explanations is the assumption that childhood socialization is so powerful as to continue throughout adulthood (Chodorow, 1978; Campbell, 1969).

Some of the most compelling research from the 1980s to the present challenges the traditional approach along two lines. The first examines issues of race, class, religious affiliation, and what Glass et al. (1986) describe as other *social statuses* that affect an individual's life experiences. Acock (1984) refers to these social statuses when he suggests that parents and children share a common location in the social structure. The similarity between children and parents is seen as a result of these social and cultural statuses as parents' socialization much as children. Social statuses provide a comfortable context for beliefs to persist unchallenged because they cohere with or explain the life circumstances of Thus, an upper-middleindividuals. class, 30-year-old, White, Protestant male well might express basic beliefs that appear remarkably similar to those of his parents. These similarities would be seen as the result of the social statuses of privilege, maleness, race, and religion that allow for the perpetuation of certain behaviors and practices from one generation to another.

The second line concerns the reverse direction or bidirectionality intergenerational influence. Here. researchers examine children's influence on their parents and assume that there is reciprocal or bidirectional learning from parent to child and from child to parent (Bronfenbrenner, 1986). Examples of this may be found in programs such as Head Start which is designed for young children but result

significant changes in parents' behaviors and choices as well (Slaughter et al., 1987). Proponents of this interactionist perspective argue children increasingly influence their parents as both children and parents age and that the unidirectional flow from parent to child ignores the power of reciprocal relationships between children and parents (Bengtson and Troll, 1978; Glass et al., 1986; Featherman and Lerner, 1985: 1981, 1984; Lerner Hagestad, and Spanier, 1978).

An example of children's influence on their parents is derived from interviews with mothers, particularly work in the 1960s and 1970s. Hagestad in 1977 reported that three-fourths of the mothers in a sample indicated that their children's attempts to influence them were successful. Parents of vouthful radicals changed their views confrontations with children after (Keniston, 1967), as did parents whose children cohabited as radical college students (Angres, 1975). Parents have differential responses to each of their children children, and shape the behavior of their parents (Moss and 1982). While Abramowitz, the assumption that influence flows only from parent to child represents a limited view of socialization, the issue of directionality may be a complex of historical and social forces operating within both of the generations, making identification of appropriate models or patterns difficult.

Family Life-Course

Research analyses on families use life-course frameworks to connote the constantly changing role of family members and the family structures to

which they belong (Germain, 1994; Kreppner and Lerner, 1989). Life-span and life-course, while similar in many ways, differ in their emphases. Whereas the work on life cycle describes human development as occurring in isolated, separable, fixed stages, life-course perspectives offer a wider array of situations and conditions; they consider life transitions, life events, and other life issues as ongoing processes that are changing. Life-span constantly approaches trace central phenomena such as sense of self or problem-solving abilities from infancy through old age to determine how they are transformed as a result of both psychological and social change (Baltes and Brim, 1979; Baltes and Warner-Schie, 1973; Baltes et al., 1988; Neugarten, 1969).

Life-course approaches examine the relationship between individual change and the timing of major life events, e.g., the onset of schooling, the time at which someone leaves home, the beginning of childbearing, and retirement from the labor force (Elder, 1973). Family lifeframeworks emphasize reciprocity life continuity and experiences and the ways in which new life experiences draw upon and are recycled over time--fluid rather than laconic role transitions (Germain, 1994). What this work also suggests is that families are units of individuals and that the events, episodes, and activities that affect individual family members also influence the unit and the course of family life. Each family member in each generation has a space that he or she shapes, and that shaping therefore becomes a part of the way families construct themselves and adapt to change. Germain (1994) notes that these transitions and events are both predictable and unpredictable and may

experienced be stressors as orchallenges, depending upon the relationships among personal, cultural, and environmental factors. transitions and events are experienced by all families, and others differentiate Any one transition for an families. individual or within the family can precipitate a change or transformation for the entire family unit (Germain, 1983).

These other and human development issues are examined in a variety of disciplines that contribute to our understanding of human behavior-e.g., history (Hareven, 1977, 1982), sociology (Elder et al., 1984), and gerontology (Riley, 1985). interdisciplinary work, life changes and life events are seen as time-specific rather than fixed. That is, the onset of these changes and events may occur within a specific period or may continue over time, is not always predictable, and may be affected by multiple factors. Time may refer to historical and social changes that affect people born during a time period, e.g., gender role changes resulting from the women's movement; individual time, reflecting how individuals shape and experience their social time, integrating lives; to individual human processes into collective activities within the family (Germain, 1990, 1994). However, life events and changes are experienced differently within different societies and subject to enormous variability in socially accepted stages of adult development (Atchley, 1975; Fry, 1988).

There is little doubt that whole generations and subsequent ones may be affected by different and difficult life circumstances. The intergenerational impact of these life circumstances is

examined in detail in the work of Elder 1984). (1974; Elder et al., His longitudinal study on the impact of the Great Depression in the 1930s and the Second World War (1974) showed that the Depression and the war affected people differently. These differences depended, in large part, on individuals' age at the onset of the event, economic status at the start of the Depression, and the severity of the Depression's impact on family income. For example, Elder reports that people who became teenagers at the time of the Depression experienced the fewest difficulties. They often needed to help their families by finding odd jobs and doing housework that prior to the Depression they would not have been required to do. Rather than adding to their stress, these new responsibilities seemingly gave the teenagers a sense of usefulness and of value and accomplishment.

children In contrast, young experienced greater difficulty during the Depression. Many experienced family disruption during the entire course of their childhoods as their fathers became unemployed, often causing families to lose homes and to accept difficult living conditions. Then, their fathers went off to war, and their mothers went off to work. Elder found that the effects of these experiences and abrupt transitions often lingered and that their manifestations in older life, as might be expected, reflected the quality of the experience and choices of the mothers. For example, women from middle-class households--who had experienced only mild economic difficulties, who learned to cope, and who bore children in spite of the hardship--appeared to find the transition to retired and reduced income less difficult than women who had not.

Difficult life circumstances result in families sometimes changing perspectives and self-perceptions, that is, in their constructing a collection of behaviors and practices that associated internally and externally with the family or with hardships in the family. Several frameworks are used to represent these changes. Reiss' (1981) family paradigms, for example, defined by family members and include the shared, implicit beliefs that families have about themselves, their social worlds, and their relationship to social structures. He writes that "the family, the course through of its development, fashions fundamental and enduring assumptions about the world in which it lives. The assumptions are shared by all family members, despite disagreements, conflicts, and differences that exist within the family" These assumptions, 1). suggests, are rarely conscious. Rather, paradigms are influenced by family history, culture, and the values and meanings assigned to experiences and perceptions. They are affected by the life views of family members — that is, views of the world as ordered or predictable disorganized, unpredictable, and fair or inequitable. Family rituals and spatial and temporal conditions arise from and maintain family paradigms (Kantor and Lehr, 1975; Hartman and Laird, 1983). When families face stresses, they may move from implicit assumptions to stated alternatives to individual and family survival (Germain, 1994).

Stack and Burton (1993) expand on their multigenerational research to focus on *kinscripts*. Kinscripts are developed upon the premise that families have their own agenda, their own interpretation of cultural norms, and

their own histories. Stack and Burton's model focuses on the temporal nature of the life-course (e.g., lifetime, social time, family time, and historical time) and life-course independence (e.g., the ways that individual transitions and trajectories are affected by or contingent upon the life stages of others). framework is developed around three temporal critical issues: (1) and interdependent factors in family role creation transitions. (2) and intergenerational transmission of family norms, and (3) negotiation, exchange, and conflict within families over the lifecourse.

Gadsden's (1993) work with multiple generations of African American and Puerto Rican families highlights the concept of family cultures, cumulative life texts and artifacts of individual family members that contribute to life-course perspectives, decisions, and behaviors. Intergenerational practices and learning within families are formed around an interplay of accepted ethnic traditions, cultural rituals, sociopolitical histories, religious practices and beliefs, negotiated roles within families over Issues of race and culture are time. deeply embedded in family cultures which are manipulated by societal events and affected by shifts in family mobility. They seem to revolve around a family-defined premise that family members hold as central to their purpose and to the life-trajectory of children. Families vary in their level of desire to adapt these cultures which may be fluid or static, depending on the degree to which family members adapt or accommodate change. In family cultures, family members construct practices, beliefs. traditions, behaviors that they believe are critical to survival and achievement, and that are

embedded in their own family, ethnic, and racial histories.

Antonucci and Akiyama's (1991) concept, convoys of social support, builds on Hagestad's (1981) work which argued that researchers need to keep in mind that historical changes affect individual development in and out of relationships across the life-course. The negotiation, construction, and destruction of family norms, values. beliefs, and bonds cannot be assessed through analysis of aggregate data, nor strictly through quantitative research. Antonocci and Akiyama's convoy is "the group with whom one moves through life" (p. 106) and incorporates both the concepts of cohorts and generations. It was used in the authors' empirical study of social support among adults over 50. Subjects in this study identified people in their social networks by level of closeness and by type of functions provided. The authors found more similarities between the structure and support functions in parents' and adult children's networks and fewer for grandchildren. grandparents and Although Antonucci and Akiyama suggest that their study provided some empirical support for the positive attributes of the convoy model, they acknowledge that convoys could have a negative impact.

Issues in Family Solidarity

When individuals in a family become parents, the impending birth and actual arrival of a child often transform the family: a new generation is added. When young adults become parents, not only do the roles of those in the immediate household change but also those of nonhousehold kin (Fischer, 1988). How families respond to these

changes may be attributed in part to the family's solidarity. The changes in these kin ties may be ignored but are fundamental to solidarity (Roberts et al., 1991). Research on family solidarity aims to understand what it is that keeps a group of people such as a family together or that enables a family to cohere around important issues in times of both crisis and calm.

Associational solidarity is the amount of time a family spends together, either through face-to-face or distant interactions (e.g., letter writing and telephone conversations). related to parents' self-esteem (Small et al., 1988). Associational solidarity is predicated on the assumption that family members' participation regularly shared activities results in the transmission of more elements of these family's cultural heritage than those of other families. That is, families that demonstrate solidarity through regular visits and apparent close bonds share a common view of the world (Aldous and Hill, 1965). However, many families spend a great deal of time together without ever engaging in discussions about critical issues and are less likely to discuss openly an issue that can be as a possible point generational cleavage (Jessop, 1981).

Affective solidarity is based on theories such as symbolic interaction in which affect and support lead concordance. Symbolic interaction relies on significant others as a source of the generalized other. In affective solidarity, the stronger the bonds between parents and children, the more likely the parents will be seen as significant others. However, empirical evidence on affective solidarity suggests that the degree of affection between parent and child is not necessarily the

result of the amount of influence that parents have (Hoge et al., 1982), and parental affect changes over the lifespan (Offer et al., 1981). The most beneficial aspects of intergenerational solidarity pertain to the cultivation of high self-esteem among children and adolescents and act as a force mobilizing family members to provide emotional and material support for one another over the adult life-course (Roberts et al., 1991).

Summary

Intergenerational learning may be described in terms of time, location, or social events. What is transmitted intergenerationally is as much a function of demographic features within a family line as direct socialization. Intergenerational groupings may be described as rank descent, cohorts, development age, discrete time, or zeitgeist. Generation refers to one's position in the family's lineage. A family's lineage represents the life-course development individual family members and of the family unit itself. Frameworks for lifecourse family development include paradigms, kinscripts, and cultures that families construct; as family members mature, they may be associated with age-cohort convoys of support, members who provide closeness and care. Issues such as family solidarity and cohesiveness, while part of family development discussions, need to be examined more intensively. However, current analyses suggest that family solidarity consists of more than shared activities by family members. Continued work should focus on how relationships develop and change over the life-course of parents and children

and how cohesiveness is experienced both positively and negatively in families.

PARENT-CHILD AND FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS

The impact of parents and families on intergenerational learning focuses broadly on five general areas: (1)parenting and grandparenting, (2)intimacy, relationships and (3)educational, religious, and social behaviors and values, (4) family instability and divorce, and (5) status attainment.

Parenting and Grandparenting

Children are likely to emulate the parenting behaviors to which they are exposed during childhood. Generally, these are the behaviors of parents, but often they include grandparents (Burton and Dilworth-Anderson, 1991; Pearson et al., 1990; Tinsley and Parke, 1987). The probability of an intergenerational linkage is increased when parents and children are similar in education, social status, employment, and other social factors that affect continuity within a family and the ability of an adult parent to contribute to the social welfare of the family (Chase-Lansdale et al., 1994). This transfer seems apparent whether in multigenerational families. families in which women have been heads of households over time, or in intergenerationally intact families.

Many intergenerational studies reflect findings similar to those of Cox et al. (1985) which suggest that children who experience positive home environments tend to create similar environments for their children. The authors focused on 38 White, middle-class couples and found that young

adults who, prior to the birth of their first-born, reported high quality parenting by their parents adapted better to early parenthood and became better parents themselves than young who adults reported low-quality parenting. Fu et al. (1986), in a path analytic model using data from 150 grandmother-mother-child family units, found that childrearing attitudes of parents were the principal means of transmitting behavioral patterns to successive generations. Grandmother's dependence had a direct effect on mother's dependence and an indirect effect on mother's family interdependence and parenting attitudes.

Although some argue that there is little difference in children's perceptions father and mother parenting (Shepard, 1980), sons and daughters appear to interpret parents' messages in different ways. Simons et al. (1992) found that girls are more attuned to parents' beliefs their about consequences of supportive involvement for children's development, while boys are more attuned to their parents' beliefs about Adolescents were equally discipline. likely to pick up these messages from both parents.

One synthesizing note to discussions about parenting influences Iizendoorn's (1992)examination of psychological studies on nonclinical populations of children ranging from infants to college students. Ijzendoorn suggests that little is known about the intergenerational mechanism of transmission of parenting and that it is difficult to ascertain how people specifically learn to parent. Learning to be a parent and to acquire a certain parenting style may be as much an

outcome of modeling and coaching as other cognitive processes. Most studies are restricted only to showing that a relationship between infant and adult characteristics exists but fail to give insight into the causal mechanism.

Discussions about parenting treat harsh parenting styles as a small subset. Children who were exposed to corporal punishment appear to adopt less harsh parenting styles than their parents and are less harsh when, as adults, they do not co-reside with their parents. grandparent generation in a Simons et al. (1991) study was more aggressive in parenting than the generation parents actively engaged in childrearing. One-third of the fathers and one-fourth of the mothers participating in the study were spanked or slapped regularly as adolescents, with men being hit more often by their fathers and women being disciplined severely by both parents. Harsh parenting in the study negatively associated with income, i.e., grandparents who were harshest in those parenting were typically experiencing hardship.

Chase-Lansdale et al. (1994), in a study of multigenerational families, found a relationship between mothers and grandmothers harsh parenting styles; in particular, the parenting styles co-resident mothers grandmothers were harsher than those of mothers and grandmothers apart. The mothers and grandmothers studied were from lowincome homes and similar in educational attainment, marital status, ability, intellectual income, and opportunities for the future. The potential for a better life may have appeared limited for mothers grandmothers and each succeeding generation in the family, perceived as perpetuating the existing condition of the family.

Despite differences in parenting practices across cultural and ethnic groups (Fry, 1993), over 90 percent of American families condone and use physical punishment in the rearing of their children, according to the National Family Violence Survey (see Straus, 1991). Fry (1993) suggests that adults favor conflict resolution patterns they experienced as children within their family and that these ways of resolving conflict become a part of community practices of violence. Straus (1991) argues that physical punishment even in parenting should be considered a form of violence. While it may curtail immediate misbehavior, asserts Straus, promote fact it may in juvenile criminal delinquency and adult behavior. However, the causal direction of the association between physical punishment and subsequent misconduct has not been established convincingly.

The importance of relationships grandparents between grandchildren is noted in several studies (e.g., Franks et al., 1993; Pearson et al., 1990; Tinsley and Parke, 1987). Since the 1960s, studies have identified styles of grandparental interaction: funseeker, surrogate parent, reservoir of family wisdom, and distant figure (Neugarten Weinstein, and remote, companionate, and involved (Cherlin and Furstenberg, 1986); centrality, valued elder, immortality through clan, reinvolvement personal past, and indulgence (Kivnik, 1985); surrogate parent, buddy, storyteller, and confidant (Franks et al., 1993); family historian, mender, motivator (Gadsden, support, and forthcoming). In these multiple roles, grandparents fill in for parents, engage

children in activities, or serve as a source of invaluable support and guidance.

Children likely have are to grandparents accessible and available to throughout adolescence (Hagestad, 1981; Matthews and Sprey, 1982). Grandparents have influence on older grandchildren, many whom as they become more simply independent bypass their parents (Kearl and Hermes, 1984: Walker and Thompson, 1983). They typically do not exact the discipline on their grandchildren that they did on their own children. In most families. grandparents contribute in meaningful, substantive, and treasured ways to their grandchildren's views, practices, and beliefs (Gadsden, 1995). For increasing numbers of children, particularly those low-income communities, grandparents the primary are caregivers but are neither acknowledged nor supported by family policies.

Intimacy

Children's experiences with their parents influence their perceptions about and the quality of their intimate relationships and mate choices (Benson et al., 1992, 1993). Fathers affect daughters' mate choice more than sons' choices (Jedlicka, 1984). According to Jedlicka, whose work focused on Asian, Hawaiian, and U. S. mainland residents living in Hawaii, men marry women who resemble their mothers and women marry men who resemble their fathers, consistent with psychoanalytic theory.

However, the connection is more complex as it relates to the way in which individuals communicate to intimate others in adult life. Benson et al. (1993) found for some support transmission intergenerational of patterns of intimacy, confirming the hypothesis that anxiety resulting from controlling families influences subsequent communication among adolescents. This, the authors suggest, particularly true in romantic relationships in which self-doubts and worries of anxious individuals result in aversive communication.

Intimacy is linked to individuation. Mothers' level of individuation (one's ability to have a close relationship with family members and maintain boundaries of self) is predictive of the offspring's level of individuation (Harvey et al., 1991). For fathers and children, the father's level psychological distress is the most important predictor of the level of intimacy and individuation perceived by children in relationships.

Sons and daughters of involved fathers report greater comfort discussing sexual matters than children of uninvolved fathers (Bennett, 1984). Fathers appear to play a key role in sex-role development for daughters and in the postures they assume in intimate relationships. Daughters of highly involved fathers exhibit more cautious behaviors, such as being careful not to get pregnant. Girls in homes where fathers are involved tend to delay sexual encounters and pregnancy (Harris and Morgan, 1991).

Educational, Religious, and Social Attitudes and Beliefs

Parents influence children's attitudes and beliefs at different levels throughout the life-course, and the impact of these influences changes as children mature (Barnett et al., 1991).

The intergenerational transmission of attitudes differs based on the subject area being considered. Some subject areas are more important than others to the family and are supported by family interaction and the family's social network (Acock, 1984). Parents' actual attitudes often differ from the attitudes that children perceive them as having (Acock and Bengtson, 1980). perceived attitudes and encouragement for certain kinds of behaviors may exceed the effect of modeling. Children agree with their parents most often on religion, politics, and education. Fathers and sons report the least agreement on sex roles and sexual behavior in some studies (e.g., Bengtson and Troll, 1978) and similar sex-role beliefs in others (Emihovich et al., 1984).

Whether parents' beliefs and attitudes toward education affect children's academic achievement consumes a great deal of the educational and social science literature (e.g., Marsh, 1990; McLanahan and Sandefur, 1994; Paulson, 1994; Williams, 1994). However, considerably less work focuses on how parents' educational values and beliefs are translated by children as adult choices and behaviors. Cohen (1987) found that parents' encouragement of children had greater effect on children's academic definitions and choices than their actual educational level. Daughters modeled their parents more than sons whereas sons were more influenced by their definitions of educational parents' Children aspirations and attainments. of white-collar families tended to be influenced more in terms of modeling than others. Mothers and fathers did not differ in their influence on children.

Recent research on racial socialization suggests that parents'

views about ethnic groups other than their own influence children's beliefs and attitudes about those groups, particularly African Americans and other people of color (Jeter, 1995). Jeter (1995) in a study on African American college students found that their views mirrored those of their parents in most cases, particularly when parents' images reinforced by the actual experiences of the students. Carlson and Iovini (1985) found that for 100 Black and White father-son pairs perceived attitudes were more important than actual attitudes for the socialization of White adolescents; no correspondence in father-son racial attitudes and no sharp distinction between fathers' actual attitudes and perceived attitudes were found.

Intergenerational attitudes toward gender roles often are apparent in the types of activities in which a child chooses to participate or his or her beliefs about appropriate behaviors for girls versus boys. While findings of studies on intergenerational learning reveal no clear differences in gender role attitudes, there appears to be some overlap with political consciousness. Mothers of activists appear more liberal than mothers of nonactivists (Troll and 1979), and parents' Bengtson, educational backgrounds appear to account for differences in political orientation (Glass et al., 1986). Research in the 1970s and 1980s identified adolescent-parent agreement on politics as second to religion (Bengtson and Troll, 1978); concordance was greatest on issues that are highly visible such as party affiliation (Niemi, 1974; Hoge et al., 1982). Much of the work on the of transmission political activism suggests that activists show generational continuity and are more

liberal than their peers as they grow older, even though activists become more moderate over time. Activists tend to have greater disagreement with their parents on political attitudes and orientations than do nonactivists, except in the case of mothers and daughters. However, these differences associated with young adulthood and do not appear to reduce the quality of family relationships (Glass et al., 1986; Miller, 1987; Dunham and Bengtson, 1992).

Parents appear to exert enormous control over children in religious communicating activities by either religious values or requiring their children to attend religious activities. Mothers' influence on their children's religious socialization appears to be weaker than that of fathers (Clark et al., 1988; Clark et al., 1987). In addition, daughters and sons react differently to parents' attempts to influence their behaviors (Hoge et al., 1982). In a study with 60 White, middle-class mothertriads **Protestant** father-son from congregations in Richmond, Virginia and El Paso, Texas, Clark et al. (1988) found that fathers' beliefs and commitment to those beliefs were related to some aspects sons' religious beliefs but that mothers' influence was minimal.

Agreement between adolescents and their parents was greatest for religion (Bengtson and Troll, 1978). Several religious variables appear to affect transmission of religious beliefs and practices to adolescents: content theological beliefs, consistency of parental religious church beliefs, attendance, and frequency discussions of religion within the family (Hoge and DeZulueta, 1985). Intergenerational transmission

greater for religious denomination than religious attitudes (Acock and Bengtson, 1975; Clark et al., 1988; Jennings and Niemi, 1968; Hill et al., 1970).

An expected effect of parents' socialization of children is similarity in parent-child values. In some cases, youth-parent agreement on selected issues is only partially accounted for by family attributes (McBroom et al., 1985). Children attribute their own values to their parents, and the accuracy of perceptions children's of parents' socialization values enhances their own socialization. of Structural within families features (e.g., decisionmaking mode, such as whether it is democratic) were found to be more important than affective factors such as with one's relationships parents (McBroom et al., 1985). Other data (e.g., Whitbeck and Gecas, 1988) suggest that parents' perceptions of children's personal values are strongly related to parents' personal values. In addition, parents are more likely to see their values as more consistent with those of their daughters than with those of their sons.

On the flip side, parents' attitudes also affect children's union formation behaviors. Axinn and Thornton (1993) examined the degree to which children's experiences with cohabiting and marital unions influence their attitudes as well their mothers' attitudes toward cohabitation. Data came from a 23-year, seven-wave panel study of mothers and children in Detroit. Mothers were interviewed seven times between 1962 and 1985. The results suggest that mothers' attitudes have more influence on their daughters than sons, and daughter cohabitation experiences have more influence on mothers' attitudes

than do the cohabitation experiences of sons.

Divorce and Marital Instability

The intergenerational effect divorce on children is a complex issue that should be examined within a broader view of the process and impact of divorce. Children of divorce may consider it an option for themselves and others experiencing marital difficulties (Amato and Booth, 1991). A central differentiator between divorced families and intact families is the level of income available to the family and the impact of Divorce is a stressful poverty. experience for most children. accompanied by a decline generally in the standard of living for motherheaded households (Emery, Duncan and Hoffman, 1985) and less with the father frequent contact (Furstenberg Nord, 1985: and Furstenberg et al., 1983). While some children may choose to divorce in the face of disharmony, others seemingly persist, even when there are potential deleterious effects on themselves and children. their The unpleasant consequence of divorce, particularly decreased family income, results in some children viewing marital dissolution unfavorably and in their entering into adulthood with a strong belief that marriage should be a lifelong commitment. In short, it is difficult to isolate divorce alone as the salient variable in adult children's choices to divorce.

Studies through the 1960s and early 1970s found either no effect or little effect of living with a single parent (see Duncan and Duncan, 1969; Bumpass and Sweet, 1972). Since the 1980s, there has been an upsurge in studies that suggest

that marital disruption has deleterious consequences for children (Hogan et al., 1990). McLanahan and Bumpass (1988) three explanations describe intergenerational instability. The first attributes intergenerational effects of divorce to the decline of the family's standard of living (Becker, 1981; Krein and Beller, 1986, 1988). The second focuses on childhood and adolescent socialization and the implications of father absence on children's personality development and sexual orientation for sons (Emihovich et al., 1984) and on daughters' interest in and dependency on men (Hetherington, 1972).

The third is developed around stress theory which examines family events and their consequences for family organization and equilibrium (Hill, 1949; Marital disruption may Elder, 1974). produce disequilibrium within family system, encouraging children to assume adult roles (Booth, Brinkerhoff, and White, 1984). McLanahan proposes a fourth explanation. She suggests that differences in one- and two-parent families may be due to selectivity and pre-existing differences between families (e.g., children who experience problems subsequent to divorce well might have experienced those problems had their families been intact).

Despite inconsistency across studies, there is some agreement that parental divorce is associated with attitudes toward divorce in adulthood; few studies, states Amato and Booth (1991), have found the opposite pattern. Studies with college students suggest that people from disrupted families expressed more favorable attitudes toward divorce than those who grew up in intact families (Coleman and Ganong, Greenberg and Nav, Rozendal and Well, 1983). Amato and

Booth (1991) in a study of college women found that children whose parents divorce tend to be more accepting of and hold more positive attitudes toward divorce than individuals from happy, intact families. individuals continuously intact families experiencing high levels of parental conflict also hold views favorable toward divorce. Children whose parents divorced are more likely to see their own marriages end in divorce. Experiencing divorce within one's own marriage also results in more liberal attitudes toward divorce. Parental divorce or conflict does not appear to have an effect on egalitarian views toward gender roles.

Amato (1988) found that adult children of divorce were more likely than individuals from intact family backgrounds to disagree with statement, "You need two parents to bring up a child" (see Amato and Booth, 1991). Kulka and Weingarten (1979) suggest that men from divorced families of origin were more likely than other men to agree that divorce is often the best solution to marital problems; no corresponding effect was observed for women. Brennan and Shaver's (1993) work indicates that divorce may not college students' attachment affect styles, although marital quality postdivorce various situations appear to have an effect. Among undergraduate men and women, parental divorce did not have an effect on a child's relationship status or quality (Brennan and Shaver, 1993). Parental marital quality, if the parents were still married, and maternal remarriage, if the parents were divorced, did have a positive effect on student relationships, Students whose parents' however. troubled marriages were were

unusually likely to be involved in a romantic relationship but rated these relationships in relatively unfavorable terms.

Much of the research since the 1980s makes reference to the impact of marital disruption on children's academic achievement, school experiences, psychosocial development, criminal behavior, and early parenting. This work shares several features. depends almost entirely on discussions of poverty (Gadsden and Philadelphia Children's Network, 1993). That is, many of the effects that are identified are tied to the decline in the standard of living (Chase-Lansdale et al., 1994; McLanahan, 1984; McLoyd, 1990). Second, there is often discussion of the absence of another person to assist mothers in childrearing and to provide emotional support (Hetherington and Camara, 1988).

Third, the effects of marital disruption are often collapsed with single parenting female-headed or households. Distinctions are not made between the homes of never-married women and divorced and separated Fourth, studies may use women. divorce as an explanation for behaviors that are the result of changing societal norms and practices that influence the choices of individuals. The range of effects of divorce is not displayed so that we understand better how children negotiate life when mothers, because of increased financial responsibility in the family, must work longer hours and use alternative babysitting arrangements such as television. Booth (1987)suggests that reliance on television may lead children of divorce to adopt relatively stereotyped views about gender, and adults from divorced families of origin may be conventional

in their attitudes about the roles of men and women, despite changes in the roles and images of women.

Many children who grew up in intact families accept divorce as a reasonable alternative to marital conflict. **Emery** (1982)found that adults from continuously intact families of origin that were riddled with parental marital conflict had positive attitudes toward divorce. Thus. the interparental, intrafamilial conflict that many of these children experience may lead them to find divorce an acceptable alternative. Parents in conflictual relationships and unhappy situations convey a message to they their children that should persevere and that the intactness of marriage supersedes personal Silvestri (1992) provides happiness. evidence that male college students from divorced homes may be less likely to have interpersonal behavior and cognitions against closeness and to exhibit a pattern of being the "guardedhostile-rescuer." The untenable nature of determining the impact of divorce is reflected perhaps in the contrasting findings of two studies conducted during the same time period: Booth et al. (1985) found that attitudes did predict later divorce behavior, while Thornton (1985) indicated that attitudes toward divorce did not predict who did and did not divorce. What the data seem to suggest is a need for more indepth studies that identify the mechanisms for transmission using different methodologies and for greater clarity between perceived effects and actual effects of intergenerational transfer, for multiple variables, in divorced and intact families.

Status Attainment

in Status attainment sociology examines "the relationship between various family background characteristics and economic status" (Corcoran et al., 1992, p. 576). Pioneered by Blau and Duncan in the mid-1960s, this domain of work uses coefficients from path analysis to estimate the relative impact of variables such as father's education and income on son's education and income. Two studies in particular which are interested intergenerational effects use this model. The first is Corcoran et al. (1992) which adds to this body of work specifically by community background appending variables (e.g., median family income, male unemployment rate, percentage of female-headed families with children, and percentage of families on public assistance) as well as poverty and welfare use (e.g., welfare income and family income). The authors used the Panel Study of Income Dynamics, which has re-interviewed members of the same families since 1968 and allows for contemporaneous rather than family retrospective reports of characteristics. An advantage of the Corcoran et al. study is its focus on men, both as fathers and sons.

While not concerned with learning in particular, the results of this research suggest intergenerational effects of poverty. More specifically, men who come from families with a history of welfare receipt were more likely to have low economic status themselves. same negative relationship held true for men who came from low-income, nonwelfare families. High community participation rates in the welfare system also tended to depress hourly wages and by extension earnings. The authors against making cautioned causal

inferences because of measurement error and omitted variables. Although not suggested by Corcoran et al., one possible next step could be more qualitative work in order to understand whether these are purely structural issues, i.e., earnings are depressed because these men are shut out of networks which would give them entry into more high-paying positions, or individual's whether an level community receipt of welfare depresses ambition and achievement orientation. The larger context of work available in the period studied as well as shifts in the nature of work were not mentioned in this article.

The second study (Gruca et al., 1988) differs from the earlier model in two ways. First, it focuses on daughters rather than sons. Second, it looks specifically at the type of career choices, as opposed to earnings or education. The five categories of variables used student (1) background characteristics, (2) pre-college variables, (3) institutional characteristics of college attended, (4) measures of collegiate experience, and (5) outcomes. came from the Cooperative Institutional Research Program of the American Council on Education. In longitudinal study, students were surveyed in 1971 and 1980. Results suggest that parents' level of college education indirectly shapes daughter's educational attainment and career choice.

Race was an explicit variable, with the major difference being that father's education was more important for White females while mother's education was more important for Black females. The explanation offered for the latter finding was that it reflected a "matricentered family structure" (p. 118). However, this explanation ignores several other factors. Two of these are (1) the ratio of White to participants was three to one, with substantial and more varied data for participants, and White (2) differences may be a question of more Black mothers than fathers having attended college. Black women's greater access to college has a long history (see Giddings, 1984); perhaps this is another case in which young Black women are following their mothers' behavior. Also, Black women historically have been at the bottom of comparisons (Wrightgender-race Myers, 1980); so it is not surprising that Black women might socialize their daughters to seek "sex atypical" careers that tend to pay more. More qualitative research needs to be done to uncover why these effects are subtle rather than direct and to include more analysis of cultural context.

Summary

Intergenerational learning is apparent in the parenting styles of adult children, with many choosing not to inflict upon their children the harsh parenting they experienced as children. However, the specific mechanism for intergenerational transmission and the actual effects of father involvement is difficult to determine. Children who grow up in healthy, happy families appear to assume their role as parents with positive attitudes. Despite the typical reference to parents and children intergenerational studies, grandparents and often greatgrandparents are increasingly a source of support and may contribute to children's attitudes and beliefs as much as parents. The increased likelihood of three and four-generational families suggests that research should understand better how these multiple generations connect around children's development and what the long-term implications are for families.

Relationships between parents and adult children appear strongest for mothers and daughters, with mothers having more influence on daughters' perceptions of gender roles. Children present whose fathers were involved in the home report greatest comfort around issues of sexuality. Parents seemingly affect adult children's attitudes and behaviors most often in religious practices and beliefs, political activism, and educational values, with some differential effects appearing in mothers' influence on daughters' activism fathers' and influence on sons' religious practices.

There is still no clear-cut evidence about the effects of divorce, although increasingly studies draw a connection between divorce and several negative behaviors and experiences such as single parenting in the next generation. The effect that is most harmful is a decline in a family's standard of living which may explain some of the different outcomes for children. A consistent finding, however, is that compared with children from most intact homes, children of divorce consider divorce a viable alternative to marital conflict. However, children in unhappy, conflictual homes also share this view.

NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF INTERGENERATIONAL LEARNING: "UNHEALTHY" FAMILIES

The term, "unhealthy," applied to families is decidedly value-laden. However, rather than offering

judgment in this review, we refer here to parent and family practices and behaviors that the literature describes as having deleterious effects on children, e.g., alcohol, drug, child, and spousal abuse (see Fitzgerald et al., 1995). As the previous discussion suggests, not all intergenerational learning is healthy or positive. Alcohol abuse, physical aggression, and child and spousal abuse are but a few examples of a troubled family system, but how children enact the messages of this system in their adult lives may have a perpetuated effect on subsequent generations. Are behaviors transmitted intergenerationally? What is the impact of these behaviors on later generations?

Discussions about alcohol abuse in college-age adults suggest common effects for men and women. Fischer Wampler (1991)focused whether personality type (as defined by the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator) and family role (hero, mascot, lost child, or scapegoat) served as a buffer against or provided greater susceptibility alcohol abuse and dysfunction in the family of origin. Data from their sample Southwestern college students suggested that lost children scapegoats, two negative family roles, were more susceptible to dysfunction in the family of origin for both males and females. While the mascot role served as a buffer for men, it increased the vulnerability of women. The effects of both variables appeared to be buffered by both roles and personality type among women, while for men, family role buffered the effect of family dysfunction; personality type buffered the effect of family addictions.

Perkins (1987) investigated the relationship between religiosity and alcohol abuse, asking the question: do

parental religious traditions influence alcohol abuse among college students? The data from this Northeastern, uppermiddle-class sample indicate that the answer is yes. Non-Jews, those with weak attachment to any faith, and children of alcoholic parents were more likely to abuse alcohol. Parents' religious traditions seemed to influence the propensity to abuse alcohol both directly and indirectly.

Other situations are particularly stressful and threatening. Caspi and Elder (1988) in a study on intergenerational construction problem behavior and relationships found reinforcing relationship between unstable, problem behavior and unstable family ties. Intergenerationally unstable personalities, they suggest, produced by unstable marital relationships and ineffective parenting in a repeating, cyclical way. The authors conclude that aversive family patterns mediate the influence of unstable parenting of offspring and that the relational styles learned in childhood are likely to be evoked in similar situations.

One of those unshakable tenets in the mythology around spouse and child abuse has been that if children witness or experience abuse they will continue the cycle as abusers. A study by Kalmuss (1984) contributes to this conventional wisdom and research. Using data from "nationally sample" representative to explore whether there is a relationship between experiencing or witnessing violence in the family of origin on the one hand and perpetrating violence against spouse on the other, Kalmuss' results indicate that those who observed violence between their parents as children were more likely to perpetrate

violence against their spouse than those experiencing violence as a teenager. Sons and daughters were equally as likely to be victims as perpetrators after witnessing their fathers hitting their mothers. The intergenerational transmission of this behavior appears to role-specific, i.e., children observe violence between parents may come to see it as acceptable behavior between spouses but not against kids. (1989)Frey-Angel suggests observation of violence perpetuates the cycle in the next generation.

Adults who have been abused as children also demonstrate intergenerational continuity. In studies by Egeland et al. (1987) and Herrenkohl et al. (1983), adult children were able to develop trust and intimacy by rising above the obstacles of an abusive childhood and through the support of loving partners and spouses supportive networks in their adult lives. In a study of 44 mothers abused as children, Egeland et al. conclude that child abuse victims who do not continue the cycle of abuse share several common experiences, e.g., one parent figure who showed them love and support; a fairly stable living situation; intact, childhood families; supportive husband or partner living in the home. However, almost 70 percent of mothers abused as children abuse their own children. The findings suggest that the severity of abuse experienced by the mother as a child predicts the likelihood that she too will abuse her own children.

Edwards and Alexander (1992) explored whether family background has an effect on long-term adjustment to childhood sexual abuse that is distinct from the abuse itself. The authors studied a group of women who were

mostly White and middle-class, whom close to one-half had a history of The women who had sexual abuse. been sexually abused reported more parental conflict in their own families, as well as less satisfactory relationships with female friends. The authors conclude that there are links among child sexual abuse, parental conflict, dominance, paternal and adult psychosocial adjustment. Cornett (1985) used a psychoanalytic approach in order some conceptualize intergenerational transmission of child physical abuse. He used empathy as a measure, arguing that neither abused parents children nor possess Ultimately, he provided prescriptions for treating the abusive parent and the whole family.

Summary

This section examined the impact of addictive behaviors and various types of violence in the family of origin on the children's adult behaviors with their own children. Dysfunction in the family of origin seems to have a negative effect on the propensity toward alcohol abuse and long-term adjustment to sexual abuse. Yet, the direction of causality among the variables is not clear-cut. There does appear to be a cycle of abuse which is not only transgenerational but also role-specific, i.e., that men and fathers appear to be the ones who abuse, and women and mothers are abused. However, the effects children are equally serious for boys and girls when they assume roles as fathers and mothers. It takes early therapeutic intervention, most likely in a group setting, to stop the cycle which allows the problem to fester.

RACE AND ETHNICITY

The issues of race and ethnicity are the least studied areas among intergenerational learning. As noted in the introduction, studies focus almost exclusively on White, middle-class women and men (Barnett et al., 1991). Exceptions are studies that examine intergenerational poverty and single parenting (McLanahan, 1984) and White-Black differences (Blee and Tickamyer, 1987; Carlson and Iovini, 1985). However, even these studies do not examine cultural contexts, focusing primarily on a subset of child support and parenting behaviors. Within the body of work examined for literature review, almost 90 percent of the studies excluded families of color in the sample or discussion except within context single-mother of the households.

There were many possible ways to address this void in the literature. We were hesitant initially to isolate the studies or the issues of race and culture because of our desire to contribute an integrative analysis to the discourses in the field rather than appear marginalize the issues. However, we decided that a separate section, rather than endorsing the isolation of the issues, creates a space in which questions and problems about race and ethnicity and about families of color and other ethnic families can be initiated. Class, an equally important and little issue in intergenerational studied learning studies, also is not discussed

What happens when race and ethnicity are seen as important mediating variables in intergenerational relations? The literature would suggest that race is typically an issue when researchers attempt to study African American families. Few articles describe race and ethnicity as shaping the cultural context and thus family relationships of non-Black families. Hogan et al. (1990) focus on issues of race in the design of their study and examine the relationship between race and the availability of kin and social networks and the resources to Black and White mothers in single-and two-parent households.

There has been a historical bias in families work and African Americans; Black families are labeled often in traditional studies as different the norm if not deviant. However, much of the work emerging in the 1960s and 1970s was developed around an alternative perspective that emphasized the strengths of African American families. Many of these studies (e.g., Billingsley, 1968; Gutman, 1976; Hill, 1972; Stack, 1975) aimed to respond to earlier work that described Black families as pathological.

Several studies continued the focus on family strengths into the 1980s (e.g., Allen, 1985; McAdoo, 1986; Spencer et al., 1985; Staples, 1985), while exploring other directions for work in the field. More recent studies (e.g., Stack and Burton, 1993 and Gadsden, 1995) are attempts to advance the arguments on the "strengths of Black families" and expand the perspective to include a variety of family types. They derive conceptual frameworks from literature and their own research, and unlike some of the work in the field, are grounded in qualitative analysis, one on low-income Black families exclusively and the other on Black and Puerto Rican families across class lines.

Taylor et al. (1990) and Littlejohn-Blake and Anderson-Darling (1993) use both methodological and conceptual analyses to build on the literature about strengths, citing issues that range from the socialization of Black children, to family roles, to the psychological well-being of Black American families. Their analysis suggests that although the picture of intergenerational learning in Black life is more balanced than in previous years, most analyses continue to use a comparative framework in which Whites are the norm against which Blacks are measured (McDaniel, 1993; Miller, 1993).

Similar to other groups, African American families may have three to four generations available to support children (Burton and Bengtson, 1985). Burton and Dilworth-Anderson (1991), focusing exclusively on the elderly, examined the historical changes in the roles of aged Blacks in the family network. Older Blacks were more likely to be part of four or even fivegenerational families. Data currently being collected at the Institute for Social Research at The University of Michigan (Jackson et al.) should provide compelling insight multiple on generations of American African families. The importance of grandparenthood also was found to increase as single-parent households increase. Freeman (1990) supports this work, using a life-cycle approach and clinical orientation that focus on the strengths of Black families within their cultural context.

Considerable attention has been given to the effects of one-parent families and adolescent parenting within African American families and the intergenerational effect of these formation patterns. Less attention is assigned to the structural conditions that contribute to this intergenerational effect, e.g., the high levels of joblessness

among African American males and declining marriage rates (Anderson, 1990; Center for the Study of Social Policy and the Philadelphia Children's Network, 1994). Staples (1985) uses exchange theory to focus on what he calls the conflict between ideology and structural conditions, e.g., Black women who do not marry or do not remain married when they perceive the costs to outweigh the benefits of such an arrangement. Hines et al.'s (1992) comparative analysis on the relationship between race-ethnicity and family life describes the positive nature of cultural patterns as well as the difficulties that affect intergenerational relations and thus lead to therapy.

Harriette Pipes McAdoo's (1978) research on the relationship between upward mobility and extended kin networks expands on Stack's (1974) earlier work to include middle- and lowincome Black families in the suburbs and cities. Unlike Stack's research, however, the middle-income families in McAdoo's study did not drop their obligations to the extended kin-help network in order to facilitate their own needs. notions of reciprocity and "social debt" expressed by this sample throughout the mobility process led to conclusion that the extended family pattern is not limited to structural coping but is a powerful and valuable cultural pattern. Despite the richness of this work, one wonders how the passage of nearly 20 years since its publication has made a difference.

John Lewis McAdoo (1986, 1993) examined the myths around Black men and families, suggesting that Black fathers, like fathers from other ethnic groups, participate in childrearing decisions in the family. Limited research on the parenting styles of Black fathers

and how these affect the socialization of their children reduces the likelihood that the nature of Black fathers' participation will be understood. While much has been said about the inability of African American men to carry out the provider role, little research has been done to determine how Black fathers' unemployment experiences shape family life.

The cultural linkages between African American families and African patterns is used often as a framework to examine the practices within different African American communities. McDaniel (1990)uses work from antebellum America to examine and chart the perpetuation of practices around marriage and childbearing. Foster (1983) examines the range of kinship activities that constitute African American cultures. Historically, the analyses of these cultures have not been complimentary of Black life. (1939) saw the cultural trappings of African American life that persisted after slavery as an obstacle to African American families being accepted and successful American society. in Constructs that reinforce Frazier's argument and those that refute it have been examined consistently since his 1939 analysis (Billingsley, 1968; DuBois, 1976; Miller, 1903; Gutman, Moynihan, 1965).

Understanding what parents want to transmit may be one way to understanding how they intend to transmit it. In a study on transmission of heritage, Lasker and Lasker (1991) found that Jewish parents intentionally transmitted qualities and values specifically related to Jewish identity. However, other than the action of sending their children to Hebrew school, it is not clear exactly

how the parents teach and model particular aspects of their identity in a way to ensure that they perpetuate what is important. Steinberg (1986) argues that intergenerational learning translated into occupations that enabled Jewish Americans to be successful in America. Jewish success is described as a function of the unique occupational background of Jews in their countries of origin.

Intergenerational learning may be affected by social events and crisis, as we have noted in the section on lifecourse frameworks. Weinstein-Shr and Henkin (1991) identify obstacles to the intergenerational transmission cultural norms, values, and beliefs generated by the refugee experience. Focusing on Southeast Asian refugee families, the study examined the stresses strains attendant upon generation and highlighted the specific resources refugees have which help in their adaptation to life in the United The critical discussion here is associated with the term, change, and how each generation can adapt to it. Similarly, Rogler and Santana Cooney (1984) suggest that intergenerational processes within Puerto Rican families in New York City were affected migration-induced change in their These sociocultural environments. articles are a poignant reminder of the difficulties of intergenerational learning due to involuntary displacement.

Falbo's (1991) study of grandparents' contributions to children in China found that more contact with better educated grandparents was positively and significantly related to academic outcomes. Having been cared for by grandparents before elementary school was associated with good academic performance. Grandparents and

children typically co-resided, and their cohabitation had no negative effects on either children's personality or academic outcomes. In general, the grandparents over-indulge their grandchildren, suggesting some consistency in grandparent behavior perhaps across cultures.

Summary

Most of the studies described in this section share at least two common features: (1) they were primarily review studies, most examining the gaps in the research on African American families, and (2) they decried the paucity of work that acknowledges the racial, ethnic, and cultural diversity of families. Most studies continue to relv on comparative model in which variable nature of White, middle-class formation patterns is used as the norm, i.e., how Blacks measure up to Whites. Although there is some work on Jewish, Puerto Rican, and Chinese families, the thread that runs through much of the literature is that race-ethnicity issues shape the individual and family lives of Black American disproportionately. Strengths within ethnic families need to be emphasized, particularly with respect to how they can be used to enhance therapeutic situations, the knowledge base in the field, and contribute to a strong cultural focus on the issues.

CONCLUSIONS

The initial goal of this literature review was to examine fathers' roles in intergenerational learning. As we stated in the introduction to this review, the data on this topic are limited, and where they exist, they tend to combine mother and father effects or rely on perceived effects. The tendency to collapse fatherrelated issues into the larger area of families and not to isolate variables about fathers appears consistent with the notion that children's development and socialization are "women's work." However, this notion and the scant research that accompany it do not reflect the changes in a society in which women who are mothers work and often have jobs as demanding or more demanding than those of their husbands and partners. Neither does the work consider the nature of shared childcare and other household responsibilities or acknowledge that fathers as biological contributors to children's birth critical (through their presence absence) in the life-course development of children and families.

Our conclusion from the literature is simply that children's behaviors reflect the beliefs and practices of their parents and families, sometimes in concordance and other times in reaction. We began this critical review with an obvious question related to intergenerational learning and fathers: What information fathers transmitting to children, and is this information transmitted intergenerationally? At first glance, the answer seems to be that fathers are contributing very little Although fathers' roles in directly. intergenerational learning are difficult to assess, they appear to be significant both when fathers are present and when they are absent in the daily lives of their children. Thus, there is some argument for a rigorous discussion not only about father absence but also about the continuum of positive and negative impact of father presence.

The contributions of research to discourses on intergenerational learning well might lie in increasing the number and quality of studies. We know very little about what men learn about themselves and within their families. It is difficult to generalize from many because of problems studies with sample size, measurement error, omitted variables, retrospective accounts, and lack of class and racial diversity. In the absence of a critical core of research on fathers intergenerational learning, we focused the broader issues on intergenerational, family, and life-course development, examining literature permitted analyses of studies on issues of children's development, views of family life, and perspectives on their roles within families and society.

The implications of the studies on intergenerational learning are not neatly packaged into research, practice, and policy, nor does the separation of the three domains serve our purposes well In general, research needs to expand the subject and informant pool in order to understand how learning occurs in different populations and across social classes. There seems to be some disjuncture between researchers' talk about a more diverse society and changing family forms and the research practices they use disproportionately on White, middleclass families. In addition, research might consider the ways in which families of color are studied, presented, and represented. There is an impending urgency around adolescent parenting and the poverty within female-headed households, many which of disproportionately African American and Latino. However, how we examine these households may benefit from an examination of other African American and Latino families that experienced varying levels of western-

honored success or that demonstrate different abilities to navigate their members through the particularities and inequities of social systems. do not suggest that researchers should bias their findings but that they broaden their conceptualizations of the issues, the family configurations that they value as worthy of study, and the design of studies that respond to the variety of racial, cultural, and ethnic groups in the United States. This, it would seem, is also important as the number of biracial, bicultural families increase and as growing numbers of White, middle-class, unmarried women choose to bear children.

Second, there is a theme throughout the existing literature that grandparents contribute in meaningful ways to their grandchildren's learning and that the natural impact of their contributions are affected by differences in cultural norms. With increases in the divorce rate and reliance on families of origin, the role of grandparents intergenerational learning acknowledges the centrality of multiple generations in many families. The role of grandmothers, which has been the focus of most discussions, continue to be the center of studies, particularly those addressing changing roles of grandmothers. However, studies on grandparents' roles need to bring grandfathers to the center also, both those who were present and active and those who were absent in the lives of their own children. Practice and policy also will need to be aware of the ongoing influences of grandparents. Where grandparents provide for the general support of their grandchildren, policies that do not allow payments to these surrogate parents minimize their contributions to the healthy development of many children.

Third, the intergenerational effects of parenting are consistent with our intuitive sense that children in happy, generally nonconflictual, intact families will experience fewer problems with parenting than those who grew up in homes where there were conflictual parent relationships. Although we should continue to examine the intergenerational effect of these "healthy" homes, substantial work-much of it painful--needs to focus on the negative consequences of homes in which there is abuse and the differential effects of father involvement and absence. That is, does a dysfunctional or abusive father have a greater impact than a dysfunctional or abusive mother? Other issues range from the impact of child and child-observed abuse to adolescent and adult children's imitation of behaviors around alcoholism, drug use, and psycho-emotional well-being.

Fourth, the absence of a critical the intergenerational discourse on of fathers on children's educational beliefs and practices signals a need to transform the culture of fatherhood and fathering. The transition in gender roles over the past 20 years suggests that the responsibility for children's education as "women's work" neither applicable is advantageous. Here, the connections among research, policy, and practice are obvious. As research develops more intensive and expansive designs to identify fathers' impact on children's educational choices and on their ability to persist, practice must construct effective ways to invite fathers into children's educational experiences and sustain their participation in the learning process.

within workplaces Policies federal, state, and local government systems must create ways for fathers to be involved and to distance themselves from the stereotypes that deny fathers opportunity to be engaged the meaningfully. Policies for establishment of governmentsupported intergenerational parenting programs might build into grants incentives for grantees to include fathers over the course of the program (recognizing the evolutionary difficult nature of recruitment) increase support for research evaluation components that encourage researchers and practitioners to work collaboratively in the development and implementation of the programs.

Fifth, the intergenerational impact of divorce is apparent in many of the studies. More basic studies and secondary analyses are needed. however, to support the sweeping generalizations that are made about the impact of father absence from relatively small core of data. In addition, the work on the effects of instability should family distinctions between children of neverparents and divorced separated parents. This work could be complemented by studies that examine intergenerational effect cooperative parenting, also. A special focus might address a subset of families, to which we refer to as *fragile families*.

In addition, research needs to model, through more broadened conceptualizations, the impact of fathers' behaviors on both sons and daughters. The current impetus in public campaigns often includes a subtle subtext that assigns more attention to sons than daughters. This, of course, is a complete reversal of earlier work that

assumed that mothers influenced both daughters and sons more than fathers. We suggest here that we minimize this imbalance and inequity in the literature and in public and private discourses. The focus on sons is justified richly by the fact that sons who choose to have families will become fathers; however, studying father-daughter and motherson relationships also enables us to examine a variety of issues around gender. When the work focuses on relationships father-son alone primarily, it needs to provide a more comprehensive picture of what men are learning, who is teaching them, and how; make all men visible; or forego any attempts to explore the truly complex reality of men's lives.

There is considerable, vet insufficient, work on women and mothers. This work needs to examine the deeper issues of poverty and coping and the intergenerational effect on children. Herein, however, lies one primary and critical source of designs, complementary comparisons, and If we extrapolate from the work. research done on and about women, perhaps we can wholeheartedly advocate the idea that men need to be supported in their roles as fathers. This includes focusing on parenting classes and support groups as well as decent paying jobs. It is important to conduct more research with men and fathers, to

seek out from them what they think they need and not assume that a man is not taking on his role of father if he is not living in the same household with his children. In other words. practitioners, researchers, and policymakers should contemplate view of the world in which men could assume responsibility as sons, fathers, and grandfathers; the ways that current constructions limit these connections across multiple generations, particularly for low-income fathers of color and those in other ethnic groups; strategies for including mothers in the conversation.

Intergenerational learning occurs in all families, irrespective of class, race, or culture; and fathers contribute in many ways to how children think about their roles and abilities into adulthood. Families are biological and social structures, providing the first intersection between individual society. No matter what the family pattern, intergenerational transmission seems to occur. How research, practice, and policy contribute to this intersection will affect not only environmental and social structures but also the life needs of individual members and the survival family cultures and family organization within and across multiple generations--for fathers and mothers and, most important, the well-being of their children.

REFERENCES

- Acock, A. C. (1984). Parents and their children: The study of intergenerational influence. *Sociology and Social Research*, 68(2), 151-171.
- Acock, A. C., Barker, D., & Bengtson, V. L. (1982a). Mother's employment and parent-youth similarity. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 44(2), 441-455.
- Acock, A. C., Barker, D., & Bengtson, V. L. (1982b). Mother's work and parental influence on postadolescent children. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 44, 441-445.
- Acock, A. C., & Bengtson, V. L. (1978). On the relative influence of mothers and fathers: A covariance analysis of political and religious socialization. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 40, 519-530.
- Acock, A. C., & Bengtson, V. L. (1980). Socialization and attribution processes: Actual vs. perceived similarity among parents and youth. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 40, 519-530.
- Aldous, J., & Hill, R. (1965). Social cohesion, lineage type, and intergenerational transmission. *Social Forces*, 43, 471-482.
- Allen, W. (1985). Race, income and family dynamics: A study of adolescent male socialization processes and outcomes. In M. Spencer, G. Brookins, & W. Allen (Eds.), *Beginnings: The social and affective development of Black children,* (pp. 273-292). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Amato, P. R., & Booth, A. (1991). The consequences of divorce for attitudes toward divorce and gender roles. *Journal of Family Issues*, 12(3), 306-322.
- Anderson, E. (1990). *Streetwise: Race, class, and change in an urban community*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Angres, S. B. (1975). Intergenerational relations and value congruence between young adults and their mothers. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 36(1-B), 488.
- Antonucci, T. C., & Akiyama, H. (1991). Convoys of social support: Generational issues. *Marriage and Family Review*, 16, 103-123.
- Aquilino, W. S. (1991). Predicting parents' experiences with coresident adult children. *Journal of Family Issues*, 12(3), 323-342.
- Arditti, J. A., Godwin, D. D., & Scanzoni, J. (1991). Perceptions of parenting behavior and young women's gender role traits and preferences. *Sex Roles*, 25(3-4), 195-211.
- Atchley, R. C. (1975). The life course, age grading, and age-linked demands for decision-making. In N. Datan & L. H. Ginsberg (Eds.), *Life-span developmental psychology: Normative life crises*, . New York: Academic Press.
- Axinn, W. G., & Thornton, A. (1993). Mothers, children, and cohabitation: The intergenerational effects of attitudes and behavior. *American Sociological Review*, 58, 233-246.

- Bailey, M. J., Bobrow, D., Wolfe, M., & Mikach, S. (1995). Sexual orientation of adult sons of gay fathers. *Developmental Psychology*, 31(1), 124-129.
- Baltes, P. B., & Brim, O. G., Jr. (Eds.). (1979). Life-span development and behavior. (Vol. 2). New York: Academic Press.
- Baltes, P. B., Featherman, D. L., & Lerner, R. M. (Eds.). (1988). *Life-span development and behavior*. (Vol. 8). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Baltes, P. B., & Warner-Schaie, K. (Eds.). (1973). Life-span developmental psychology: Personality and socialization. New York: Academic Press.
- Barnett, R. C., Kibria, N., Baruch, G. K., & Pleck, J. H. (1991). Adult daughter-parent relationships and their associations with daughters' subjective well-being and psychological distress. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 52(February), 29-42.
- Barnett, R. C., & Marshall, N. L. (1991). The relationship between women's work and family roles and subjective well-being and psychological distress. In M. Frankenhaeuser, U. Lundberg, & M. Chesney (Eds.), *Women, work, and health: Stress and opportunities*, (pp. 111-136). New York: Plenum.
- Barnett, R. C., Marshall, N. L., & Pleck, J. H. (1992). Adult son-parent relationships and their associations with sons' psychological distress. *Journal of Family Issues*, 13(4), 505-525.
- Baruch, G., & Barnett, R. C. (1983). Adult daughters' relationships with their mothers. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 45, 601-606.
- Bassoff, E. (1994). Between mothers and sons: The making of vital and loving men. New York: Dutton.
- Becker, G. S. (1981). A treatise on the family. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Belle, D. E. (1982). The impact of poverty on social networks and supports. *Marriage and Family Review*, 5(4), 89-103.
- Bengtson, V. L. (1975). Generation and family effects in value socialization. *American Sociological Review*, 40, 358-371.
- Bengtson, V. L., & Kuypers, J. A. (1971). Generational difference and the developmental stake. *Aging and Human Development*, 2, 249-260.
- Bengtson, V. L., & Troll, L. (1978). Youth and their parents: Feedback and intergenerational influence in socialization. In R. M. Lerner & G. B. Spanier (Eds.), *Child influences on marital and family interaction: A life-span perspective*, (pp. 215-240). New York: Academic Press.
- Bennett, S. M. (1984). Family environment for sexual learning as a function of fathers' involvement in family work and discipline. *Adolescence*, 19(75), 609-627.
- Benson, M. J., Arditti, J., Reguero De Atiles, J. T., & Smith, S. (1992). Intergenerational transmission: Attributions in relationships with parents and intimate others. *Journal of Family Issues*, 13(4), 450-464.

- Benson, M. J., Larson, J., Wilson, S. M., & Demo, D. H. (1993). Family of origin influences on late adolescent romantic relationships. *Journal of the Marriage and the Family*, 55, 663-672.
- Biller, H., & Weiss, S. (1970). The father-daughter relationship and the personality development of the female. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 114, 79-82.
- Billingsley, A. (1968). Black families in White America. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Blank, R. M., & Ruggles, P. (1994). Short-term recidivism among public assistance recipients. *The American Economic Review*, 84, 49-53.
- Blau, P. M., & Duncan, O. D. (1967). *The American occupational structure*. New York: Wiley.
- Blau, Z. (1981). Black children/White children: Competence, socialization, and social structure. New York: Free Press.
- Blaxter, M. (1982). *Mothers and daughters: A three-generational study of health attitudes and behaviour*. London: Heinemann Educational Books.
- Blee, K. M., & Tickamyer, A. R. (1987). Black-White differences in mother-to-daughter transmission of sex-role attitudes. *Sociological Quarterly*, 28(2), 205-222.
- Booth, A. (1987). The state of the American family. *Journal of Family Issues*, 8, 429-430.
- Booth, A., Brinkerhoff, D. B., & White, L. K. (1984). The impact of parental divorce on courtship. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 46, 85-94.
- Booth, A., Johnson, D. R., White, L., & Edwards, J. N. (1985). Predicting divorce and permanent separation. *Journal of Family Issues*, *6*, 331-346.
- Boyer, R. M. (1992). *Apache mothers and daughters: Four generations of a family*. Norman, OK: University of Oklahoma Press.
- Brennan, K. A., & Shaver, R. S. (1993). Attachment styles and parental divorce. *Journal of Divorce and Remarriage*, 21(1/2), 161-175.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1986). Ecology of the family as a context for human development: Research perspectives. *Developmental Psychology*, 22, 723-742.
- Brown, D. R., & Gary, L. E. (1985). Predictors of depressive symptoms among unemployed Black adults. *Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare*, 12(4), 736-754.
- Bumpass, L., & Sweet, J. A. (1972). Differentials in marital stability: 1970. *American Sociological Review*, 37.
- Burton, L. M. (1985). *Early and on-time grandmotherhood in multigeneration Black families*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Southern California.
- Burton, L. M., & Dilworth-Anderson, P. (1991). The intergenerational family roles of aged Black Americans. *Marriage and Family Review*, 16, 311-330.
- Campbell, E. Q. (1969). Adolescent socialization. In D. A. Goslin (Ed.), *Handbook of socialization theory and research*, . Chicago, IL: Rand McNally.

- Carlson, J. M., & Iovini, J. (1985). The transmission of racial attitudes from fathers to sons: A study of Blacks and Whites. *Adolescence*, 20(77), 233-237.
- Caspi, A., & Elder, G. H., Jr. (1988). Emergent family patterns: The intergenerational construction of problem behavior and relationships. In R. A. Hinde & J. Stevenson-Hinde (Eds.), *Relationships within families*, (pp. 218-240). New York: University Press.
- Center for the Study of Social Policy, & Philadelphia Children's Network. (1994). *World without work: The causes and consequences of Black male joblessness*. Washington, DC: Center for the Study of Social Policy and the Philadelphia Children's Network.
- Chase-Lansdale, P. L., Brooks-Gunn, J., & Zamsky, E. S. (1994). Young African-American multigenerational families in poverty: Quality of mothering and grandmothering. *Child Development*, 65(2), 373-393.
- Cherlin, A. J., & Furstenberg, F. F. J. (1986). Grandparents and family crisis. *Generations*, 10, 26-28.
- Chodorow, N. (1978). *The reproduction of mothering*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Clark, C. A., & Everett L Worthington, J. (1987). Family variables affecting the transmission of religious values from parents to adolescents: A review. *Family Perspective*, 21, 1-21.
- Clark, C. A., Everett L Worthington, J., & Danser, D. B. (1988). The transmission of religious beliefs and practices from parents to firstborn early adolescent sons. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 50(2), 463-472.
- Cohen, J. (1987). Parents as educational models and definers. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 49(2), 339-351.
- Cohler, B., & Grunebaum, H. (1981). *Mothers, grandmothers, and daughters*. New York: Wiley.
- Cohler, B. J., Grunebaum, H. U., Weiss, J. L., & Moran, D. L. (1971). The childcare attitudes of two generations of mothers. *Merril-Palmer Quarterly*, 17(1), 3-17.
- Coleman, M., & Ganong, L. H. (1984). Effect of family structure on family attitudes and expectations. *Family Relations*, 33, 425-432.
- Coles, M., & Coles, R. (1988). The development of children. New York: Freeman.
- Collins, A. (1994). Fatherson: A self psychology of the archetypal masculine. Wilnett, IL: Chiron.
- Corcoran, M., Gordon, R., Laren, D., & Solon, G. (1992). The association between men's economic status and their family and community origins. *The Journal of Human Resources*, 27(4), 575-601.
- Cornett, C. (1985). The cyclical pattern of child physical abuse from a psychoanalytic self-psychology perspective. *Child and Adolescent Social Work Journal*, 2(2), 83-92.

- Cox, M. J., Owen, M. T., Lewis, J. M., Reidel, C., Scalf-McIver, L., & Suster, A. (1985). Intergenerational influences on the parent-infant relationship in the transition to parenthood. *Journal of Family Issues*, 6(4), 543-564.
- Du Bois, W. E. B. (1903). The souls of Black folk; Essays and sketches. Chicago, IL: A. C. McClurg & Co.
- Duncan, B., & Duncan, O. D. (1969). Family stability and occupational success. *Social Problems*, 16, 273-285.
- Duncan, G. J., & Hofman, S. D. (1985). A reconsideration of the economic consequences of marital disruption. *Demography*, 22, 485-498.
- Dunham, C. C., & Bengtson, V. L. (1992). The long-term effects of political activism on intergenerational relations. *Youth & Society*, 24(1), 31-51.
- Edwards, J. J., & Alexander, P. C. (1992). The contribution of family background to the long-term adjustment of women sexually abused as children. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 7(3), 306-319.
- Egeland, B., Jacobvitz, D., & Papatola, K. (1987). Intergenerational continuity of abuse. In R. J. Gelles & J. B. Lancaster (Eds.), *Child abuse and neglect: Biosocial dimensions*, (pp. 255-276). New York: Aldine.
- Elder, G. (Ed.). (1973). Linking social structure to personality. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Elder, G. H., Jr. (1974). *Children of the Great Depression*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Elder, G. H., Jr., Liker, J. K., & Cross, C. (1984). Parent-child behavior in the Great Depression: Life course and intergenerational influences.
- Emery, R. E. (1982). Interparental conflict and the children of discord and divorce. *Psychological Bulletin*, 92, 310-330.
- Emery, R. E. (1988). Marriage, divorce, and children's adjustment. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Emihovich, C. A., Gaier, E. L., & Cronin, N. C. (1984). Sex-role expectations changes by fathers for their sons. *Sex-Roles*, *11*(9-10), 861-868.
- Erikson, E. H. (1950). Childhood and society. New York: Norton.
- Falbo, T. (1991). The impact of grandparents on children's outcomes in China. *Marriage & Family Review*, 16(3-4), 369-376.
- Featherman, D. L., & Lerner, R. M. (1985). Ontogenesis and sociogenesis: Problematics for theory and research about development and socialization across the lifespan. *American Sociological Review*, 50(5), 659-676.
- Fischer, J. L., & Wampler, R. S. (1991). Abusive drinking in young adults: Personality type and family role as moderators of family-of-origin influences. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 56(2), 469-479.
- Fischer, L. R. (1988). The influence of kin on the transition to parenthood. *Marriage and Family Review*, 12(3-4), 201-219.

- Fishel, E. (1991). Family mirrors: What our children's lives reveal about our selves. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Fitzgerald, H. E., Lester, B. M., & Zuckerman, B. (Eds.). (1995). *Children of poverty: Research, health, and policy issues*. New York: Garland Press.
- Flax, J. (1978). The conflict between nurturance and autonomy in mother-daughter relationships and within feminism. *Feminist Studies*, 4(2), 171-189.
- Foster, H. J. (1983). African patterns in the Afro-American family. *Journal of Black Studies*, 14(2), 201-232.
- Franks, L. J., Hughes, J., & Phelps, L. (1993). Intergenerational influences on Midwest college students by their grandparents and significant elders. *Educational Gerontology*, 19(3), 265-271.
- Frazier, E. F. (1939). *The Negro family in the United States*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Freedman, M. (1989). Fostering intergenerational relationships for at-risk youth. *Children Today*, *18*(2), 10-15.
- Freeman, E. M. (1990). The Black family's life cycle: Operationalizing a strengths perspective. In S. M. L. Logan, E. M. Freeman, & R. G. McRoy (Eds.), *Social work practice with Black families*, (pp. 35-72). New York: Longman.
- Freud, S. (1933/1964). New introductory lectures in psychoanalysis. New York: Norton.
- Frey-Angel, J. (1989). Treating children of violent families: A sibling group approach. *Social Work with Groups*, 12(1), 95-107.
- Fry, C. I. (1988). Theories of age and culture. In J. Berren & V. Bengston (Eds.), *Emergent theories of aging*, . New York: Springer.
- Fry, D. P. (1993). The intergenerational transmission of disciplinary practices and approaches to conflict. *Human Organization*, 52(2), 176-185.
- Fu, V. R., Hinkle, D. E., & Hanna, M. A. (1986). A three-generational study of the development of individual dependency and family interdependence. *Genetic, Social, & General Psychology Monographs*, 112(2), 153-171.
- Furstenberg, F. F., Jr. (1968). Transmission of attitudes in the family. *Dissertation Abstracts*, 28(10-A), 4295.
- Furstenberg, F. F., Jr., Nord, C., Peterson, F. J., & Zill, N. (1983). The life course of children of divorce: Marital disruption and parental contact. *American Sociological Review*, 48, 656-667.
- Furstenberg, F. F., Jr, & Nord, C. W. (1985). Parenting apart: Patterns of childrearing after marital disruption. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 47, 893-904.
- Gadsden, V., & Philadelphia Children's Network. (1993). *The absence of father: Effects on children's development and family functioning* (Paper presented at the Policy Roundtable on Labor Force Participation and Family Formation). Washington, DC: Center for the Study of Social Policy and the Philadelphia Children's Network.

- Gadsden, V. L. (1992). Giving meaning to literacy: Intergenerational beliefs about access. *Theory Into Practice*, 31, 328-336.
- Gadsden, V. L. (1995). Literacy and poverty: Intergenerational issues within African American families. In H. E. Fitzgerald, B. M. Lester, & B. Zuckerman (Eds.), *Children of poverty: Research, health and policy issues*, (pp. 85-118). New York: Garland Press.
- Germain, C. B. (1983). Time, social change, and social work. *Social Work in Health Care*, 9(2), 15-23.
- Germain, C. B. (1990). Life forces and the anatomy of practice. *Smith College Studies in Social Work, 60,* 138-152.
- Germain, C. B. (1994). Emerging conceptions of family development over the life course. *Families in Society*, 259-267.
- Giddings, P. (1984). When and where I enter: The impact of Black women on race and sex in America. New York: Morrow.
- Gilbert, L., Hanson, G., & Davis, B. (1982). Perceptions of parental role responsibilities. *Family Relations*, 31, 261-269.
- Glass, J., Bengtson, V. L., & Dunham, C. C. (1986). Attitude similarity in three-generational families: Socialization, status inheritance, or reciprocal influence? *American Sociological Review*, 51(5), 685-698.
- Greenberg, E. F., & Nay, W. R. (1982). The intergenerational transmission of marital instability reconsidered. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 44, 335-343.
- Gruca, J. M., Ethington, C. A., & Pascarella, E. T. (1988). Intergenerational effects of college graduation on career sex atypicality in women. *Research in Higher Education*, 29(2), 99-124.
- Gutman, H. G. (1976). *The Black family in slavery and freedom, 1750-1925.* New York: Vintage Books.
- Hagestad, G. (1981). Problems and promises in the social psychology of intergenerational relations. In R. Fogel, E. Hatfield, S. Kiesler, & E. Shanas (Eds.), *Aging, stability and change in the family*, (pp. 11-46). New York: Academic Press.
- Hagestad, G. O. (1977). Role change in adulthood: The transition to the empty nest., University of Chicago, Chicago, IL.
- Hagestad, G. O. (1984). The continuous bond: A dynamic multigenerational perspective on parent child relationships between adults. In M. Perlmutter (Ed.), *Minnesota symposium on child psychology*, . New York: Erlbaum.
- Hareven, T. (1982). The life course and aging in historical perspective. In T. K. Hareven & K. J. Adams (Eds.), *Aging and life course transitions: An interdisciplinary perspective*, (pp. 1-26). New York: Guilford Press.
- Hareven, T. K. (1977). Family time and historical time. Daedalus, 106, 57-70.
- Harris, K. M., & Morgan, S. P. (1991). Fathers, sons, and daughters: Differential paternal involvement in parenting. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 53, 531-544.

- Hartman, A., & Laird, J. (1983). Family-centered social work practice. New York: Free Press.
- Harvey, D. M., Curry, C. J., & Bray, J. H. (1991). Individuation and intimacy in intergenerational relationships and health: Patterns across two generations. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 5(2), 204-236.
- Heilbrun, A., B, Jr.,, & Gillard, B. J. (1966). Perceived maternal childrearing behavior and motivational effects of social reinforcement in females. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 23(2), 439-446.
- Henkin, N., & Weinstein-Shr, G. (1989). College students tutor older refugees in English. *Aging* (359), 17-19.
- Herrenkohl, E. C., Herrenkohl, R. C., & Toedter, L. J. (1983). Perspectives on the intergenerational transmission of abuse. In D. Finkelhor, R. J. Gelles, G. T. Hotaling, & M. A. Straus (Eds.), *The dark side of families: Current family research*, (pp. 305-316). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Hetherington, E. M. (1972). Effects of father absence on personality development in adolescent daughters. *Developmental Psychology*, 7(3), 313-326.
- Hetherington, E. M., & Camara, K. A. (1988). The effects of family dissolution and reconstitution on children. In N. D. Glenn & M. T. Coleman (Eds.), *Family relations: A reader*, (pp. 420-431). Chicago: Dorsey Press.
- Hewitt, P. (1994). Families in flux. *The Political Quarterly*, 168-178.
- Hiebert, E., & Adams, C. (1987). Fathers' and mothers' perceptions of their preschool children's emergent literacy. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 44, 25-37.
- Hill, R. (1949). Families under stress. New York: Harper.
- Hill, R., Foote, N., Aldous, J., Carlson, R., & MacDonald, R. (1970). Family development in three generations. Cambridge, MA: Schenkman.
- Hines, P. M., Garcia-Preto, N., McGoldrick, M., Almeida, R., & Weltman, S. (1992). Intergenerational relationships across cultures. *Families in Society: The Journal of Contemporary Human Services*(23), 323-338.
- Hogan, D. P., Hao, L. X., & Parish, W. L. (1990). Race, kin networks and assistance to mother-headed families. *Social Forces*, *68*, 797-812.
- Hoge, D. R., & DuZulueta, E. (1985). Salience as a condition for various social consequences of religious commitment. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 24, 21-38.
- Hoge, D. R., Petrillo, G. H., & Smith, E. I. (1982). Transmission of religious and social values from parents to teenage children. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 44, 569-580.
- Ijzendoorn, M. H. V. (1992). Intergenerational transmission of parenting: A review of studies in nonclinical populations. *Developmental Review*, 12(1), 76-99.

- Jedlicka, D. (1984). Indirect parental influence on mate choice: A test of the psychoanalytic theory. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 46(1), 65-70.
- Jennings, M. K., & Niemi, R. G. (1974). *The political character of adolescence: the influence of families and schools*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Jessop, D. J. (1981). Family relationships as viewed by parents and adolescents: A specification. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 43(2), 95-107.
- Jeter, R. F. (1995). *Racial socialization: The effectiveness of the transmission of messages about race by Black parents to their college-aged children*. Unpublished dissertation, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA.
- Johnson, M. M. (1963). Sex role learning in the nuclear family. *Child Development*, 34, 319-333.
- Johnson, M. M. (1982). Fathers and femininity in daughters: A review of the research. *Sociology and Social Research: An International Journal*, *67*(1), 1-17.
- Kalmuss, D. (1984). The intergenerational transmission of marital aggression. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 46(1), 11-19.
- Kantor, D., & Lehr, W. (1975). *Inside the family*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Kearl, M. C., & Hermes, M. P. (1984). Grandparents, grandchildren, and the Kondratieff: Thoughts on "period effects" in intergenerational analyses. *International Journal of Aging and Human Development*, 19(4), 257-265.
- Keniston, K. (1967). The uncommitted, alienated youth in American society. New York: Dell.
- Kivnik, H. Q. (1985). Grandparenthood and mental health. In V. L. Bengtson & J. F. Robertson (Eds.), *Grandparenthood*, (pp. 151-158). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Krein, S. F., & Beller, A. H. (1986, April). *Family structure and educational attainment of children: Differences by duration, age, and gender*. San Francisco: Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America.
- Krein, S. F., & Beller, A. H. (1988). Educational attainment of children from single-parent families: Differences by exposure, gender, and race. *Demography*, 25(2), 221-234.
- Kreppner, K., & Lerner, R. M. (Eds.). (1989). Family systems and lifespan development.
- Kristal, J. L. (1979). *The influence of the early father-daughter relationship on feminine sexual behavior*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Texas, Austin, TX.
- Kulka, R. A., & Weingarten, H. (1979). The long-term effects of parental divorce in childhood on adult adjustment. *Journal of Social Issues*, 35, 50-78.
- Lasker, A. A., & Lasker, J. N. (1991). Do they want their children to be like them?: Parental heritage and Jewish identity. *Contemporary Jewry*, 12, 109-126.
- Lerner, R. M., & Spanier, G. B. (Eds.). (1978). Child influences on marital and family interaction: A life-span perspective. New York: Academic Press.
- Littlejohn-Blake, S. M., & Darling, C. A. (1993). Understanding the strengths of African American families. *Journal of Black Studies*, 23(4), 460-471.

- Lowenthal, M., Thurnhur, M., & Chiriboga, D. (1975). Four stages of life. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Lozoff, M. M. (1974). Fathers and autonomy in women. In R. B. Kundsin (Ed.), Women and success, . New York: Morrow.
- MacNabb, E. L. (1993). The fractured family: The second sex and its (dis)connected daughters. New York: P. Lang.
- Marsh, H. W. (1990). Two-parent, stepparent, and single-parent families: Changes in achievement, attitudes, and behaviors during the last two years of high school. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82(2), 327-340.
- Matthews, S. H., & Sprey, J. (1985). Adolescents' relationships with grandparents: An empirical contribution to conceptual clarification. *Journal of Gerontology*, 40(5), 621-626.
- McAdoo, H. P. (1978). Factors related to stability in upwardly mobile Black families. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 40(November), 761-776.
- McAdoo, J. L. (1986). A Black perspective on the father's role in child development. *Marriage and Family Review*, 9(3-4), 117-133.
- McAdoo, J. L. (1993). The roles of African-American fathers: An ecological perspective. *Journal of Contemporary Human Services*, 74(1), 28-35.
- McBroom, W. H., & et al. (1985). Intergenerational transmission of values: A data-based reassessment. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 48(2), 150-163.
- McDaniel, A. (1990). The power of culture: A review of the idea of Africa's influence on family structure in antebellum America. *Journal of Family History*, 15(2), 225-238.
- McLanahan, S., & Bumpass, L. (1988). Intergenerational consequences of family disruption. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94(1), 130-152.
- McLanahan, S., & Sandefur, G. (1994). *Growing up with a single parent: What hurts, what helps*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- McLoyd, V. C. (1990). The impact of economic hardship on Black families and children: Psychological distress, parenting, and socioemotional development. *Child Development*, 61, 311-346.
- Miller, A. T. (1993). Social science, social policy, and the heritage of African American families. In M. B. Katz (Ed.), *The "underclass" debate: Views from history*, . Princeton, NJ: Princeton University.
- Miller, J. (1987). *Democracy is in the streets: From Port Huron to the siege of Chicago*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Moss, N. E., & Abramowitz, S. I. (1982). Beyond deficit-filling and developmental stakes: Cross-disciplinary perspectives on parental heritage. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 44, 357-366.
- Moynihan, D. P. (1965). *The Negro family: The case for national action*. Washington, DC: U. S. Department of Labor, Office of Policy, Planning, and Research.

- Murray, C. (1984). Losing ground: American social policy, 1950-1980. New York: Basic.
- Myers, L. W. (1980). Black women, do they cope better. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Neugarten, B. L. (1969). Continuities and discontinuities of psychological issues into adult life. *Human Development*, 12(2), 121-130.
- Neugarten, B. L., & Weinstein, K. K. (1964). The changing American grandparent. *Journal of Marriage and the family*, 26, 199-204.
- Niemi, R. G. (1974). *How family members perceive each other; political and social attitudes in two generations*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Nydegger, C. N., & Mitteness, L. S. (1991). Fathers and their adult sons and daughters. *Marriage and Family Review*, 16, 249-266.
- Offer, D., Ostrov, E., & Howard, K. I. (1981). *The adolescent: A psychological self-portrait*. New York: Basic Books.
- Oliveri, M. E., & Reiss, D. (1981). The structure of families' ties to their kin: The shaping role of social constructions. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 43(2), 391-407.
- Paulson, S. E. (1994). Relations of parenting style and parental involvement with ninth-grade students' achievement. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 14(2), 250-267.
- Pearson, J. (1983). Mothers and daughters: Measuring occupational inheritance. *Sociology* and *Social Research*, 67, 204-217.
- Pearson, J. L., Hunter, A. G., Ensminger, M. E., & Kellam, S. G. (1990). Black grandmothers in multigenerational households: Diversity in family structure and parenting involvement in the Woodlawn community. *Child Development*, 61, 434-442.
- Perkins, W. H. (1987). Parental religion and alcohol use problems as intergenerational predictors of problem drinking among college youth. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 26(3), 340-357.
- Pittman, F. S. (1993). *Man enough: Fathers, sons, and the search for masculinity*. New York: G. Putnam's Sons.
- Reiss, D. (1981). *The family's construction of reality*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Riley, M. W. (1985). Women, men, and the lengthening of the life course. In A. S. Rossi (Ed.), *Aging and the life course*, (pp. 333-347). New York: Aldine.
- Roberts, R. E. L., Richards, L. N., & Bengtson, V. L. (1991). Intergenerational solidarity in families: Untangling the ties that bind. *Marriage and Family Review*, 16, 11-46.
- Rosow, I. (1978). What is a cohort and why? Human Development, 21, 65-75.
- Rossi, A. S., & Rossi, P. H. (1990). *Of human bonding: Parent-child relations across the life course.* New York: De Gruyter.
- Rozendal, F. G., & Wells, J. A. (1983). Use of the semantic differential to evaluate long-term effects of loss of parent on concepts of family. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 143, 269-278.

- Shepard, W. (1980). Mothers and fathers, sons and daughters: Perceptions of young adults. *Sex Roles*, 6(3), 421-433.
- Silvestri, S. (1992). Marital instability in men from intact and divorced families: Interpersonal behavior, cognitions, and intimacy. *Journal of Divorce and Remarriage*, 18(1/2), 79-108.
- Simons, R. L., Seaman, J., Conger, D., & Tao, W. (1992). Gender differences in the intergenerational transmission of parenting beliefs. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 54, 823-836.
- Simons, R. L., Whitbeck, L. B., Conger, R. D., & Wu, C.-i. (1991). Intergenerational transmission of harsh parenting. *Developmental Psychology*, 27(1), 159-171.
- Slaughter-Defoe, D. T., & Richards, H. (1995). Literacy as empowerment: The case of African American males. In V. L. Gadsden & D. A. Wagner (Eds.), *Literacy among African American youth: Issues in learning, teaching, and schooling,* . Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Small, S. A., Eastman, G., & Cornelius, S. (1988). Adolescent autonomy and parental stress. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 17(5), 377-391.
- Snarey, J. R. (1993). *How fathers care for the next generation: A four-decade study*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Spencer, M., Brookins, G., & Allen, W. (Eds.). (1985). *Beginnings: The social and affective development of Black children*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Stack, C. (1974). All our kin: Strategies for survival in the Black community. New York: Harper & Row.
- Stack, C. B., & Burton, L. M. (1993). Kinscripts. *Journal of Comparative Family Studies*, 24(2), 157-170.
- Staples, R. (1985). Changes in Black family structure: The conflict between family ideology and structural conditions. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*(November), 1005-1013.
- Steinberg, S. (1986). The rise of the Jewish professional: Case studies of intergenerational mobility. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 9(4), 502-513.
- Straus, M. A. (1991). Discipline and deviance: Physical punishment of children and violence and other crimes in adulthood. *Social Problems*, *38*, 133-152.
- Taylor, R. J., Chatters, L. M., Tucker, M. B., & Lewis, E. (1990). Developments in research on Black families: A decade review. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 52(November), 993-1014.
- Thornton, A. (1985). Changing attitudes toward separation and divorce: Causes and consequences. *American Journal of Sociology*, 90, 856-872.
- Tinsley, B. J., & Parke, R. D. (1987). Grandparents as interactive and social support agents for families with young infants. *International Journal of Aging and Human Development*, 25(4), 259-277.

- Troll, L. E. (1970). Issues in the study of generations. *Aging and Human Development*, 1, 199-218.
- Troll, L. E., & Bengtson, V. L. (1979). Generations in the family. In W. Burr, R. Hill, F. I. Nye, & I. L. Reiss (Eds.), *Contemporary theories about the family*, (Vol. 2,). New York: Free Press.
- Walker, A. J., & Thompson, L. (1983). Intimacy and intergenerational aid and contact among mothers and daughters. *Journal of Marriage and the Family, 45*(November), 841-849.
- Weinstein-Shr, G., & Henkin, N. Z. (1991). Continuity and change: Intergenerational relations in Southeast Asian refugee families. *Marriage and Family Review*, *16*, 351-389.
- Whitbeck, L. B., & Gecas, V. (1988). Value attributions and value transmission between parents and children. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 50(3), 829-840.
- Williams, W. A. (1994). Test scores, school performance and parenting issues: Assuring academic achievement. The connection between family life and school achievement: Given a supportive family, Black children can succeed. Seattle, WA: Paper presented at the National Conference of the National Black Child Development Institute.
- Wilson, W. J. (1987). The truly disadvantaged. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.